arXiv:dg-ga/9508005v3 15 Aug 1995

INTRODUCTION TO
SEIBERG-WITTEN'S INVARIANTS
PART I
THEORY OF SPINORS

JAN VACTER YANG

Abstract

In 1994, Witten has defined a monopole invariant and he has shown the equivalence of this invariant with Donaldson's polynomial using his result in $\mathcal{S}$-duality. This new invariant is very powerful because the gauge group is abelian. By using such an invariant, many new results are found in the smooth, Kähler and even the symplectic categories. However, almost every paper in this topic write the monopole equations in a different way. Therefore is it necessary to clarify the basic idea behind the definition of such an invariant.

In this paper we investigate the algebraic structure (Clifford algebra and $spin^c$ representation) underlying this invariant and explain the equations explicitly, especially the Kählerian case. Details of the computations are shown explicitly, and some minute mistakes in the existing papers are corrected.

Date: February 4, 2008.

Forwards

This paper was written by the author while studying for his Ph.D. degree in the Chinese University of Hong Kong under Prof. Shing-Tung Yau.

As a fulltime professor at Harvard and the Director of the Institute of Mathematical Science at The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Yau returns to Hong Kong during the Summer and Winter breaks. In other period, the author communicate with him through the e-mail. On the other hand, he also organize seminars with his colleagues on topics around Donaldson's theory. In the summer of 94, Yau advised the author to consider monopoles. Unfortunately, at that time, the author was mainly interested in instantons and he knew nothing about monopoles. So he ignored such a good advice.

In early December 94, (shortly after Witten has written his preprint), the author received a copy of Witten's preprint from Yau in Harvard. The paper is so interesting that he started working on it day after day with his colleagues. Two weeks later, when Yau arrives at Hong Kong, the author thought that he had already understood the paper so he started a seminar with his colleagues on this topic. However, on the first talk, the author realised that he didn't understand it at all ! The most confusing is the fact that every paper on this topic appears to write the monopole equations in a unique way. (see [11] , [8] , [9] , [6]) As a result, the author spent the whole Christmas and New Year vacation with Yau and his colleagues, trying to clarify everything. Yau often asks his students to provide all the details explicitely. By doing so, they can learn abstract ideas concretely and correct many wrong misconceptions. After a few months' work, Yau encourage the author and his colleagues to rearrange their manuscripts and write down everything into an expository paper.

The present paper is the first part of the theory which includes the basics of spinors and representation which is necessary in order to understand Seiberg-Witten's paper. The second and third part will be written by the author's colleagues S. L. Kong and X. Zhang.

All the results in this paper had already appeared somewhere else. The author's job is to put them together logically and consistently, with extra detail, in order to provide an introduction to this new and exciting field in geometry. This paper may serve as a graduate course in modern geometry for students with basic knowledge in differential geometry, analysis, linear algebra and topology.

Acknowledgements

This paper cannot be produced without the patience, advice and encouragement from Prof. Shing-Tung Yau.

The author also benefits a lot from Sheng Li Kong , Conan Leung, Weiping Li, Kefeng Liu, Li Ma, Guowu Meng, Xiaowei Peng, Chuen Li Shen, Gang Tian, Mu-Tao Wang, Ke Wu, Min Yan, Yanlin Yu, Xiao Zhang, Qin Zhou, and many other good mathematicians.

Contents

Part 1. Algebra of Clifford Modules and Spinors 4
- 1. **Clifford Algebras and Modules** 4
- 1.1. Basic Properties 4
- 1.2. The Exterior Algebra 7
- 1.3. The Spin Group 15
- 1.4. Four Dimensional Case 17
- 2. **The Quaternions and Related Representations** 20
- 2.1. Basic Linear Algebra of the Quaternions 20
- 2.2. Real and Complex Representations 21
- 2.3. The Quaternion Group 23
- 2.4. Realization of Low Dimensional Clifford Algebras 28
- 2.5. Alternative Definition of the Spin Group 31
- 3. **Spinors** 34
- 3.1. Basic Properties 34
- 3.2. Four Dimensional Case 39
- 3.3. (Anti) Self Duality 44
- 3.4. Hermitian Structure on the Spinors 52
- 3.5. Symplectic Structure on the Spinors 61
- 3.6. Quaternionic Structure on the Spinors 69
- References 72

Part 1. Algebra of Clifford Modules and Spinors

1. Clifford Algebras and Modules

To understand spinors and Dirac equations, we must first study the linear algebra behind it, which is the theory of Clifford algebra. Here we shall follow the approach of [1]. We provide the general theory first and then consider the special case of dimension four.

1.1. Basic Properties.

Definition 1. Let V be a vector space over $\mathbb{R}$ with a quadratic form Q on it. The **Clifford algebra** of (V, Q), denoted by $C(V, Q)$, is the algebra over $\mathbb{R}$ generated by V with the relations

$$v_1 \cdot v_2 + v_2 \cdot v_1 = -2Q(v_1, v_2) \qquad \forall v_1, v_2 \in V$$

Since Q is symmetric, we have $v^2 = -Q(v)$ for all $v \in V$.

For fixed Q, we may abbreviate $C(V, Q)$ and $Q(v_1, v_2)$ into $C(V)$ and (v_1, v_2) respectively.

It is a basic fact in algebra that the Clifford algebra is the unique (up to isomorphism) solution to the following universal problem.

Theorem 1. *If A is an algebra and $c : V \longrightarrow A$ is a linear map satisfying*

$$c(v_2)c(v_1) + c(v_1)c(v_2) = -2Q(v_1, v_2) \qquad \forall v_1, v_2 \in V,$$

then there is a unique algebra homomorphism from $C(V, Q)$ to A extending the map c. That means we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
V \arrow[r, "\textit{natural}", "\textit{injection}"'] \arrow[d, "\forall c\ \textit{linear}"'] & C(V,Q) \arrow[d, "\exists!\,\textit{algebra homomorphism}"] \\
A \arrow[r, equal] & A
\end{tikzcd}$$

The Clifford algebra may be realized as the quotient $T(V)/\mathcal{I}_Q$ where

$$T(V) = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} T^k(V)$$

is the **tensor algebra** *of V with $T^k(V)$ generated by*

$$\{\, v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_k \,|\, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k \in V \,\}$$

and $\mathcal{I}_Q$ is generated by

$$\{v_1 \otimes v_2 + v_2 \otimes v_1 + 2Q(v_1, v_2) \ \mid\ v_1, v_2 \in V\}$$

Remark . The tensor algebra $T(V)$ has a $\mathbb{Z}_{\not\models}$ -grading obtained from the natural $\mathbb{N}$-grading after reduction mod 2:

$$T(V) = T^+(V) + T^-(V)$$

where

$$T^+(V) = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{T}^{\not\models}(\mathbb{V}) \oplus \mathbb{T}^{\ntrianglerighteq}(\mathbb{V}) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{T}^{\not\models\neg}(\mathbb{V}) \oplus \dots$$

$$T^-(V) = V \oplus T^3(V) \oplus T^5(V) \oplus \cdots \oplus T^{2k+1}(V) \oplus \dots$$

Therefore it forms a **superalgebra**.

Similarly, for $k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$, let

$$C^k(V) = T^k(V)/\mathcal{I}_Q$$

and let

$$C^+(V) = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{\not\models}(\mathbb{V}) \oplus \mathbb{C}^{\ntrianglerighteq}(\mathbb{V}) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{C}^{\not\models\neg}(\mathbb{V}) \oplus \dots$$

$$C^-(V) = V \oplus C^3(V) \oplus C^5(V) \oplus \cdots \oplus C^{2k+1}(V) \oplus \dots$$

Since the ideal $\mathcal{I}_Q$ is generated by elements from the evenly graded subalgebra $T^+(V)$, $C(V)$ is itself a superalgebra and we have the grading

$$C(V) = C^+(V) + C^-(V).$$

Definition 2. Let E be a module over $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ which is $\mathbb{Z}_{\not\models}$ -graded,

$$E = E^+ \oplus E^-$$

E is called a **Clifford module** over a Clifford algebra $C(V)$ if there is a **Clifford action**

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C(V) \times E & \xrightarrow{\underset{c}{\cdot}} & E \\ (\ a\ \ ,\ \ e\ ) & \longmapsto & a \underset{c}{\cdot} e \end{array}$$

or equivalently, an algebra homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C(V) & \xrightarrow{c} & \mathrm{End}(E) \\ a & \longmapsto & c(a) \end{array}$$

with

$$c(a)\,(e) \;=\; a \underset{c}{\cdot} e$$

which is **even** with respect to this grading:

$$C^+(V) \underset{c}{\cdot} E^\pm \subset E^\pm,$$

$$C^-(V) \underset{c}{\cdot} E^\mp \subset E^\mp.$$

Definition 3. Let $O(V,Q)$ be the group of linear transformations of V which preserve Q. That means $\forall \phi \in O(V,Q)$, $\forall v_1, v_2 \in V$,

$$Q(\phi\, v_1\,,\, \phi v_2) = Q(v_1\,,\, v_2).$$

The action of $O(V,Q)$ on generators of $T(V)$ are defined by

$$\phi(v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_k) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi(v_i) \otimes \cdots \otimes v_k$$

and extends to the whole $T(V)$ linearly.

Remark . $\mathcal{I}_Q$ is invariant under the action of $O(V,Q)$. Hence $C(V,Q)$ carries a natural action of $O(V,Q)$.

Definition 4. Let $^* : a \mapsto a^*$ be the anti-automorphism of $T(V)$ induced by $v \mapsto -v$ on T, and satisfies

$$(a_1 a_2)^* \;=\; a_2^*\, a_1^*$$

Hence,

$$(v_1 v_2 \ldots v_k)^* = \begin{cases} (v_k v_{k-1} \ldots v_1), & \text{if } k \text{ is even,} \\ -(v_k v_{k-1} \ldots v_1), & \text{if } k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Remark . Since

$$\begin{aligned} & v_1^* \otimes v_2^* + v_2^* \otimes v_1^* + 2Q(v_1^*, v_2^*) \\ =& (-v_1) \otimes (-v_2) + (-v_2) \otimes (-v_1) + 2Q(-v_1, -v_2) \\ =& v_1 \otimes v_2 + v_2 \otimes v_1 + 2Q(v_1, v_2) \end{aligned}$$

therefore $\mathcal{I}_Q$ is invariant under *. So it induces an anti-isomorphism $a \mapsto a^*$ of $C(V)$.

Definition 5. If Q is a positive-definite quadratic form, then a Clifford module E of $C(V)$ with an inner product is said to be **self-adjoint** if

$$c(a^*) = c(a)^*$$

where $c(v)$ denote the action of $v \in V$ on a Clifford module of $C(V)$. (This module may be $C(V)$ itself.)

Remark . The inner product on E must be **C(V) invariant**:

$$(\, c(a)e_1\,,\, c(a)e_2\,) = (\, e_1\,,\, e_2\,)$$

$\forall a \in C(V)$, $e_1, e_2 \in E$. Hence for a self-dual module E,

$$(c(a)e_1, e_2) = (e_1, c(a)^* e_2) = (e_1, c(a^*) e_2)$$

Especially, we have

$$(c(v)e_1, e_2) = (e_1, c(v^*)e_2) = (e_1, -c(v)e_2)$$

$\forall v \in V$, $e_1, e_2 \in E$. That means $c(v)$ is **skew-adjoint** $\forall v \in V$.

Definition 6. Let E be a $\mathbb{Z}_{\not\neq}$-graded Clifford module over the Clifford algebra $C(V)$. We denote by $\mathrm{End}_{C(V)}(E)$ the algebra of homomorphisms of E supercommuting with the action of $C(V)$.

1.2. The Exterior Algebra.

The first interesting example of Clifford module is the exterior algebra.

Definition 7. The **exterior algebra** ΛV of a vector space V is defined to be

$$T(V)/\mathcal{I}_\Lambda$$

where $\mathcal{I}_\Lambda$ is the ideal generated by elements of the form

$$(\, v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_i \otimes v_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_k \,) \,+\, (\, v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i+1} \otimes v_i \otimes \cdots \otimes v_k \,)$$

or equivalently,

$$\Lambda V \,=\, \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \Lambda^k V$$

where

$$\Lambda^k V = T^k(V)/\mathcal{I}_\Lambda$$

Definition 8. Let

$$\epsilon : V \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\, \Lambda^k V \,,\, \Lambda^{k+1} V \,)$$

be the action of V on ΛV by **exterior product**, ie. $\forall v \in V$,

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \epsilon(v) \,: & \Lambda^k V & \longrightarrow & \Lambda^{k+1} V \\ & w & \longmapsto & v \wedge w \end{array}$$

Explicitly,

$$\epsilon(v)\,(v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k) \,=\, v \wedge v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k$$

Definition 9. Let

$$\iota : V \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\, \Lambda^k V \,,\, \Lambda^{k-1} V \,)$$

be the action of V on ΛV by **interior product** or **contraction** , ie. $\forall v \in V$,

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \iota(v) \,: & \Lambda^k V & \longrightarrow & \Lambda^{k-1} V \\ & w & \longmapsto & Q(v, w) \end{array}$$

Explicitly,

$$\iota(v)\,(v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k) \,=\, \sum_{i=1}^{k} (-1)^{i-1}\, Q(v, v_i)\, v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{v_i} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k$$

Definition 10. The Clifford action of $v \in V$ on $w \in \Lambda V$ is given by

$$v \underset{c}{\centerdot} w \,=\, c(v)\, w \,=\, \epsilon(v)\, w \,-\, \iota(v)\, w$$

Lemma 1. *For any v, w in V,*

$$\epsilon(v)\,\iota(w) \,+\, \iota(w)\,\epsilon(v) \,=\, Q(v\,,\,w)$$

Proof. For any generator $v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k$ of $\Lambda^k V$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\epsilon(v)\,\iota(w)\,(v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k)\\
&= \epsilon(v)\,(\sum_{i=1}^{k}(-1)^{i-1}\,Q(w,v_i)\;v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{v_i} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k\,)\\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{k}(-1)^{i-1}\,Q(w,v_i)\;w \wedge v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{v_i} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\iota(w)\,\epsilon(v)\,(v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k)\\
&= \iota(w)\,(v \wedge v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k)\\
&= Q(w,v)\;v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k + \sum_{i=1}^{k}(-1)^{i}\,Q(w,v_i)\;w \wedge v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{v_i} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(\epsilon(v)\,\iota(w) \,+\, \iota(w)\,\epsilon(v))\,(v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k) \,=\, Q(v\,,\,w)\,(v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k)$$

Since this operation is an algebra homomorphism, the above equation holds on the whole ΛV. □

Corollary 1. *The action $c : V \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}(\Lambda V)$ extends to an action of the Clifford algebra $C(V)$ on ΛV.*

Proof. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\,\epsilon(v)\,\epsilon(w) \,+\, \epsilon(w)\,\epsilon(v)\,)\,(v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k)\\
&= v \wedge w \wedge v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k \,+\, w \wedge v \wedge v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k\\
&= -\,w \wedge v \wedge v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k \,+\, w \wedge v \wedge v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k\\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\,\iota(v)\,\iota(w) \,+\, \iota(w)\,\iota(v)\,)\,(v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k)\\
&= \iota(v)\,(\sum_{i=1}^{k}(-1)^{i-1}\,Q(w,v_i)\;v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{v_i} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k\,)\\
&\quad + \,\iota(w)\,(\sum_{j=1}^{k}(-1)^{i-1}\,Q(v,v_j)\;v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{v_j} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k\,)
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i=1}^{k}(-1)^{i-1}\big(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1}(-1)^{j-1}\,Q(w,v_i)\,Q(v,v_j)\; v_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\widehat{v_j}\wedge\cdots\wedge\widehat{v_i}\wedge\cdots\wedge v_k \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=i+1}^{k}(-1)^{j-2}\,Q(w,v_i)\,Q(v,v_j)\; v_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\widehat{v_i}\wedge\cdots\wedge\widehat{v_j}\wedge\cdots\wedge v_k\big) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{k}(-1)^{j-1}\big(\sum_{i=1}^{j-1}(-1)^{i-1}\,Q(w,v_j)\,Q(v,v_i)\; v_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\widehat{v_i}\wedge\cdots\wedge\widehat{v_j}\wedge\cdots\wedge v_k \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=j+1}^{k}(-1)^{i-2}\,Q(w,v_j)\,Q(v,v_i)\; v_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\widehat{v_j}\wedge\cdots\wedge\widehat{v_i}\wedge\cdots\wedge v_k\big) \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c(v)\,c(w) \,+\, c(w)\,c(v) \\
={}& (\,\epsilon(v)-\iota(v)\,)\,(\,\epsilon(w)-\iota(w)\,) \,+\, (\,\epsilon(w)-\iota(w)\,)\,(\,\epsilon(v)-\iota(v)\,) \\
={}& \epsilon(v)\,\epsilon(w) \,-\, \epsilon(v)\,\iota(w) \,-\, \iota(v)\,\epsilon(w) \,+\, \iota(v)\,\iota(w) \\
& +\, \epsilon(w)\,\epsilon(v) \,-\, \epsilon(w)\,\iota(v) \,-\, \iota(w)\,\epsilon(v) \,+\, \iota(w)\,\iota(v) \\
={}& -\,(\,\epsilon(v)\,\iota(w) \,+\, \iota(w)\,\epsilon(v)\,) \,-\, (\,\epsilon(w)\,\iota(v) \,+\, \iota(v)\,\epsilon(w)\,) \\
={}& -\,2\,Q(v,w)
\end{aligned}
$$

by the Lemma above.

As a result, we see that the action c defined above extends to a Clifford action on ΛV. □

Definition 11. The **symbol map** $\sigma : C(V) \longrightarrow \Lambda V$ is defined by

$$\sigma(a) \,=\, c(a)\,1_{\Lambda V}$$

here $1_{\Lambda V} \in \Lambda^0 V$ is the identity in the exterior algebra ΛV.

Remark . If $1_{C(V)}$ denotes the identity in $C(V)$, then $\sigma(1_{C(V)})$ is the identity $1_{\mathrm{End}(\Lambda V)}$ in $\mathrm{End}(\Lambda V)$

Notation . Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1,\ldots,\dim V}$ be a orthonormal basis of V with respect to the quadratic form Q, ie. $Q(e_i,e_j) = \delta_{ij}$. Let c_i denote the element of $C(V)$ corresponding to e_i.

Theorem 2. *The symbol map σ has an inverse $\mathbf{q} : \Lambda V \longrightarrow C(V)$, called the* **quantization map** *, which is given by*

$$\mathbf{q}(\,e_{i_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge e_{i_k}\,) \,=\, c_{i_1}\ldots c_{i_k}$$

on the basis of ΛV and extends linearly to the whole ΛV.

Proof. For any generator $e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_k}$ of ΛV , the indices $i_j\,(j = 1,\dots,k)$ are distinct, hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma(\,\mathbf{q}(\,e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_k}\,)\,) &= \sigma(c_{i_1} \dots c_{i_k}) \\
&= c(c_{i_1} \dots c_{i_k})\, 1_{\Lambda V} \\
&= c(c_{i_1}) \dots c(c_{i_k})\, 1_{\Lambda V} \\
&= c(c_{i_1}) \dots c(c_{i_{k-1}})\,(\,\epsilon(e_{i_k})\, 1_{\Lambda V} \,-\, \iota(e_{i_k})\, 1_{\Lambda V}\,) \\
&= c(c_{i_1}) \dots c(c_{i_{k-1}})\, e_{i_k} \\
&= c(c_{i_1}) \dots c(c_{i_{k-2}})\,(\,\epsilon(e_{i_{k-1}})\, e_{i_k} \,-\, \iota(e_{i_{k-1}})\, e_{i_k}\,) \\
&= c(c_{i_1}) \dots c(c_{i_{k-2}})\, e_{i_{k-1}} \wedge e_{i_k} \\
&= \cdot\ \cdot\ \cdot \\
&= e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_k}
\end{aligned}$$

and for the generators $\{c_i\}_{i=1,\dots,\dim V}$ of the algebra $C(V)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{q}(\sigma(c_i)) &= \mathbf{q}(c(c_i)\, 1_{\Lambda V}) \\
&= \mathbf{q}(\,\epsilon(e_i)\, 1_{\Lambda V} \,-\, \iota(e_i)\, 1_{\Lambda V}\,) \\
&= \mathbf{q}(e_i) \\
&= c_i
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mathbf{q}$ is the inverse of σ. ☐

Corollary 2. *The Clifford algebra $C(V)$ is isomorphic to the tensor algebra ΛV and is therefore a $2^{\dim V}$ dimensional vector space with generators*

$$\{(c_1)^{n_1}(c_2)^{n_2}\dots(c_{\dim V})^{n_{\dim V}} \mid (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{\dim V}) \in \{0,1\}^{\dim V}\}$$

Remark . If we consider $C(V)$ and ΛV as $\mathbb{Z}_{\not\vDash}$-graded $O(V)$-modules, then σ and $\mathbf{q}$ preserve the $\mathbb{Z}_{\not\vDash}$-grading and the $O(V)$ action. Hence they are isomorphisms of $\mathbb{Z}_{\not\vDash}$-graded $O(V)$-modules.

Notation . There is a natural increasing filtration

$$C_0(V) \,\subseteq\, C_1(V) \,\subseteq\, \dots \,\subseteq\, C_k(V) \,\subseteq\, \dots \,\subseteq\, \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} C_i(V) \,=\, C(V)$$

where

$$C_i(V) \,=\, C^0(V) \,\oplus\, C^1(V) \,\oplus\, C^2(v) \,\oplus\, \dots \,\oplus\, C^i(V)$$

and $C^0(V) \,=\, \mathbb{R}$. It follows that

$$C_i(V) \,=\, \mathrm{span}\{\, v_1 \dots v_k \,|\, v_j \in V \hookrightarrow C(V)\, for\, j = 1,\dots,k \leq i\,\}$$

The Clifford algebra $C(V)$ with this filtration is called the **associated graded algebra** of $C(V)$ and is denoted by $\mathrm{gr}\, C(V)$. The ith grading of $\mathrm{gr}\, C(V)$ is denoted by $\mathrm{gr}_i\, C(V)$.

Remark . The associated graded algebra $\operatorname{gr} C(V)$ is naturally isomorphic to the exterior algebra ΛV, where the isomorphism is given by sending $\operatorname{gr}_i(v_1 \dots v_i) \in \operatorname{gr}_i C(V)$ to $v_1 \Lambda \dots \Lambda v_i \in \Lambda^i V$. The symbol map σ extends the symbol map

$$\sigma_i : C_i(V) \longrightarrow \operatorname{gr}_i C(V) \cong \Lambda^i V,$$

in the sense that if $a \in C_i(V)$, then $\sigma(a)_{[i]} = \sigma_i(a)$. The filtration $C_i(V)$ may be written as

$$C_i(V) = \sum_{j=0}^{i} \mathbf{q}(\Lambda^j V).$$

Hence the Clifford algabra $C(V)$ may be identified with the exterior algebra ΛV with a **twisted** , or **quantized** multiplication $\alpha \cdot_{Q} \beta$.

Lemma 2. *If* $v \in V \hookrightarrow C(V)$ *and* $a \in C^+(V)$ *, then*

$$\sigma(\,[v,a]\,) \;=\; -2\,\iota(v)\,\sigma(a)$$

Proof. Firstly, consider the simple case when

$$v = e_i \qquad \text{and} \qquad a = c_{i_1} \dots c_{i_k} = \mathbf{q}(\, e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k}\,).$$

In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sigma(\,[v,a]\,)\\
=&\,\sigma(\,v\,a \,-\, a\,v\,)\\
=&\,c(\,v\,a \,-\, a\,v\,)\,1_{\Lambda V}\\
=&\,c(\,v\,a\,)\,1_{\Lambda V} \,-\, c(\,a\,v\,)\,1_{\Lambda V}\\
=&\,\epsilon(\,v\,a\,)\,1_{\Lambda V} \,-\, \iota(\,v\,a\,)\,1_{\Lambda V} \,-\, \epsilon(\,a\,v\,)\,1_{\Lambda V} \,+\, \iota(\,a\,v\,)\,1_{\Lambda V}\\
=&\,\epsilon(\,v\,a\,)\,1_{\Lambda V} \,-\, \epsilon(\,a\,v\,)\,1_{\Lambda V}\\
=&\,\epsilon(\,c_i c_{i_1} \dots c_{i_k}\,)\,1_{\Lambda V} \,-\, \epsilon(\,c_{i_1} \dots c_{i_k} c_i\,)\,1_{\Lambda V}\\
=&\,e_i \wedge e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k} \,-\, e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k} \wedge e_i\\
=&\,e_i \wedge e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k} \,-\, e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k} \wedge e_i\\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_j} \wedge e_i \wedge e_{i_{j+1}} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k}\\
&\quad - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_j} \wedge e_i \wedge e_{i_{j+1}} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k}\\
=&\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{j-1} \big(\, e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge (e_i \wedge e_{i_j}) \wedge e_{i_{j+1}} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k}\\
&\qquad\qquad + \, e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge (e_{i_j} \wedge e_i) \wedge e_{i_{j+1}} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k}\,\big)\\
&\quad + \,(-1)^{k-1}\, e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_{k-1}} \wedge e_i \wedge e_{i_k}
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\ (-1)^{k-1}\, e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{k-1}} \wedge e_{i_k} \wedge e_i \\
&-\ (-1)^{k-1}\, e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{k-1}} \wedge e_{i_k} \wedge e_i \\
&-\ e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_k} \wedge e_i \\
= &\sum_{j=1}^{k} (-1)^{j-1}\,(-2)\, Q(e_i, e_{i_j})\, e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{e_{i_j}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_k} \\
&+\ (\,-1\ +\ (-1)^k\,)\, e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_k} \wedge e_i \\
= &\begin{cases} (-1)^j\, 2\, e_{i_1}\ \wedge \cdots \wedge\ \widehat{e_{i_j}}\ \wedge \cdots \wedge\ e_{i_k} & \text{if } i_j = i \\ \ 0 & \text{if } i_j \neq i\ \text{ for } j = 1, \ldots, k \text{ and } k \text{ is even} \\ -2\, e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_k} \wedge e_i & \text{if } i_j \neq i\ \text{ for } j = 1, \ldots, k \text{ and } k \text{ is odd} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
-2\, \iota(v)\, \sigma(a) &= -\, 2\, \iota(v)\, c(a)\, 1_{\Lambda V} \\
&= -\, 2\, \iota(v)\, (\, \epsilon(\, e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_k}\,)\, 1_{\Lambda V}\ -\ \iota(\, e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_k}\,)\, 1_{\Lambda V}\,) \\
&= -\, 2\, \iota(e_i)\, (\, e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_k}\,) \\
&= -\, 2 \sum_{j=1}^{k} Q(\, e_i\,,\, e_{i_j}\,)\, (-1)^{j-1}\, e_{i_1}\ \wedge \cdots \wedge\ \widehat{e_{i_j}}\ \wedge \cdots \wedge\ e_{i_k} \\
&= \begin{cases} (-1)^j\, 2\, e_{i_1}\ \wedge \cdots \wedge\ \widehat{e_{i_j}}\ \wedge \cdots \wedge\ e_{i_k} & \text{if } i_j = i \\ \ 0 & \text{if } i_j \neq i\ \text{ for } j = 1, \ldots, k \end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence for $a \in C^+(V)$, the above k is even and we have the required equality. In general, the equality extends linearly to every $v \in V$ and $a \in C^+(V)$. □

Theorem 3. *The space* $C^2(V) = \mathbf{q}(\Lambda^2 V)$ *is a Lie subalgebra of* $C(V)$*, where the Lie bracket is just the commutator in* $C(V)$*. It is isomorphic to the Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{so}(V)$*, under the map*

$$\tau : C^2(V) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{so}(V)$$

where any $a \in C^2(V)$ *is mapped into* $\tau(a)$ *which acts on any* $v \in V \cong C^1(V)$ *by the* **adjoint action***:*

$$\tau(a)\, v\ =\ [\, a\,,\, v\,].$$

Remark . Here the bracket is the bracket of the **Lie superalgebra** $C(V)$, ie.

$$[\, a_1\,,\, a_2\,]\ =\ a_1 a_2\ -(-1)^{|a_1|\,|a_2|}\, a_2 a_1$$

for $a_1\ \in C^{|a_1|}(V)$, $a_2\ \in C^{|a_2|}(V)$.

It satisfies the following **Axioms of Lie superalgebra**:

Supercommutativity: $[\, a_1\,,\, a_2\,]\ +\ (-1)^{|a_1|\,|a_2|}\, [\, a_2\,,\, a_1\,]\ =\ 0$

Jacobi's identity: $[\, a_1\,,\, [a_2, a_3]\,]\ =\ [\, [a_1, a_2]\,,\, a_3\,]\ +\ (-1)^{|a_1|\,|a_2|}\, [\, a_2\,,\, [a_1, a_3]\,]$

Proof. Obviously, the action $\tau(a)$ preserves $C^1(V) \cong V$. So it defines a Lie algebra homomorphism from $C^2(V)$ to $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$. On the other hand, for any $a \in C^2(V)$ and any $v\,,\, w \in V$,

$$\begin{aligned} Q(\,\tau(a)\,v\,,\,w\,) + Q(\,v\,,\,\tau(a)\,w\,) &= -\frac{1}{2}\,[\,[a,v]\,,\,w\,] - \frac{1}{2}\,[\,v\,,\,[a,w]\,] \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\,[\,[v,w]\,,\,a\,] \end{aligned}$$

by Jacobi's identity .

Since $a \in C^2(V)$, we may consider $a = a_1a_2$ for $a_1, a_2 \in V$. In this case,

$$\begin{aligned} [\,[v,w]\,,\,a\,] =& [\,(\,vw + wv\,)\,,\,a_1a_2\,] \\ =& vwa_1a_2 + wva_1a_2 - a_1a_2vw - a_1a_2wv \\ =& vwa_1a_2 + va_1wa_2 - va_1wa_2 - a_1vwa_2 \\ &+ a_1vwa_2 + a_1va_2w - a_1va_2w - a_1a_2vw \\ &+ wva_1a_2 + wa_1va_2 - wa_1va_2 - a_1wva_2 \\ &+ a_1wva_2 + a_1wa_2v - a_1wa_2v - a_1a_2wv \\ =& -2\,Q(w,a_1)\,va_2 + 2\,Q(v,a_1)\,wa_2 - 2\,Q(w,a_2)\,a_1v + 2\,Q(v,a_2)\,a_1w \\ &- 2\,Q(v,a_1)\,wa_2 + 2\,Q(w,a_1)\,va_2 - 2\,Q(v,a_2)\,a_1w + 2\,Q(w,a_2)\,a_1v \\ =& 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$Q\,(\,\tau(a)\,v\,,\,w\,) = Q\,(\,v\,,\,-\tau(a)\,w\,)$$

Therefore

$$\tau(a)^* = -\,\tau(a)$$

and which means that τ maps $C^2(V)$ into $\mathfrak{so}(V)$.

Now for linearly independent $a_1,\, a_2 \in V$, if for any $v \in V$,

$$\tau(a)\,v = [\,a_1a_2\,,\,v\,] = 0,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= a_1a_2v - va_1a_2 \\ &= a_1a_2v + a_1va_2 - a_1va_2 - va_1a_2 \\ &= -\,2\,Q(\,v\,,\,a_2\,)\,a_1 + 2\,Q(\,v\,,\,a_1\,)\,a_2 \end{aligned}$$

for any $v \in V$. Which is a contradiction. Therefore the kernel of τ contains only the identity of $C(V)$.

Now both $C^2(V)$ and $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ are $\dim V(\dim V - 1)/2$ dimensional vector spaces and the map τ is injective , therefore τ is an isomorphism. ☐

Lemma 3. *For any $A \in \mathfrak{so}(V)$, the corresponding Clifford element is*

$$\tau^{-1}(A) \,=\, \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i<j} (\, A\, e_i \,,\, e_j \,)\, c_i c_j$$

Proof. Pick an orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1,\ldots,\dim V}$ with respect to Q. Any vector in V may be written as $v = \sum_{k=1}^{\dim V} v^k\, e_k$. Any matrix $A = (A_{ij})$ in $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ is antisymmetric with zero diagonal. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} A\, v &= A \sum_{k=1}^{\dim V} v^k\, e_k \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\dim V} v^k\, A\, e_k \\ &= \sum_{i,\,k=1}^{\dim V} v^k\, A_{ik}\, e_i \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} [\, \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i<j} (\, A\, e_i \,,\, e_j \,)\, c_i c_j \,,\, v \,] &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i<j} (\, A\, e_i \,,\, e_j \,)\, [\, c_i c_j ,\, \sum_{k=1}^{\dim V} v^k\, c_k \,] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{\dim V} v^k \sum_{i<j} (\, A\, e_i \,,\, e_j \,)\, [\, c_i c_j ,\, c_k \,] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{\dim V} v^k \sum_{i<j} A_{ji}\, (\, c_i c_j c_k \,-\, c_k c_i c_j \,) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{\dim V} v^k \sum_{i<j} A_{ji}\, (\, c_i c_j c_k \,+\, c_i c_k c_j \,-\, c_i c_k c_j \,-\, c_k c_i c_j \,) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{\dim V} v^k \sum_{i<j} A_{ji}\, (\, -2\, Q(e_j, e_k)\, c_i \,+\, 2\, Q(e_i, e_k)\, c_j \,) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\dim V} v^k \sum_{i<j} A_{ji}\, (\, -\, \delta_{jk}\, c_i \,+\, \delta_{ik}\, c_j \,) \\ &= -\sum_{i<j} v^j\, A_{ji}\, c_i \,+\, \sum_{i<j} v^i\, A_{ji}\, c_j \\ &= \sum_{i<j} v^j\, A_{ij}\, c_i \,+\, \sum_{i<j} v^i\, A_{ji}\, c_j \\ &= \sum_{i<k} v^k\, A_{ik}\, c_i \,+\, \sum_{k<i} v^k\, A_{ik}\, c_i \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{i,\,k=1}^{\dim V} v^k \, A_{ik} \, c_i$$

By identifying $e_i \in V$ with $c_i \in C(V)$, we get

$$A = \tau \, (\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i<j} (A \, e_i \, , \, e_j \,) \, c_i c_j \,)$$

□

Remark . We usually identify $A \in \mathfrak{so}(V)$ with

$$\sum_{i<j} (A \, e_i \, , \, e_j \,) \, e_i \wedge e_j \qquad \in \Lambda^2 V$$

then we have

$$\mathbf{q} \, (A) = \sum_{i<j} (A \, e_i \, , \, e_j \,) \, c_i c_j$$

which is **twice** of $\tau^{-1}(A)$.

1.3. **The Spin Group.**

Definition 12. For any a in the Lie algebra $C(V)$, we may form its **exponential** in $C(V)$ by

$$\exp \, a = 1_{C(V)} + a + \frac{1}{2} a^2 + \frac{1}{3!} a^3 + \ldots + \frac{1}{n!} a^n + \ldots$$

which is an element in the associated Lie group of $C(V)$.

Theorem 4. *For any v_1, v_2 in $V \hookrightarrow C(V)$ satisfying*

$$Q(\, v_1 \, , \, v_1 \,) = Q(\, v_2 \, , \, v_2 \,) = 1$$

$$Q(\, v_1 \, , \, v_2 \,) = 0$$

we have the following formula

$$\exp \, t \, (\, v_1 \, v_2 \,) = (\cos t) \, 1_{C(V)} + (\sin t) \, v_1 \, v_2$$

where $t \in \mathbb{R}$. In fact, t is well-defined $\mod 2\pi$.

Consequently, this formula is satisfied for some vectors in V whenever $\dim V > 1$.

Proof. Since

$$\begin{aligned} (\, v_1 \, v_2 \,)^2 &= v_1 \, v_2 \, v_1 \, v_2 \\ &= v_1 \, v_2 \, v_1 \, v_2 + v_1 \, v_1 \, v_2 \, v_2 - v_1 \, v_1 \, v_2 \, v_2 \\ &= -2 \, Q(\, v_1 \, , \, v_2 \,) \, v_1 \, v_2 - (-1) \, Q(\, v_1 \, , \, v_1 \,) \, (-1) \, Q(\, v_2 \, , \, v_2 \,) \\ &= -1 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\exp t\,(\,v_1\,v_2\,) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!}\,t^k\,(\,v_1\,v_2\,)^k \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k)!}\,t^{2k}\,(\,v_1\,v_2\,)^{2k} \;+\; \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k+1)!}\,t^{2k+1}\,(\,v_1\,v_2\,)^{2k+1} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k)!}\,t^{2k}\,(-1)^k \;+\; \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k+1)!}\,t^{2k+1}\,(-1)^k\,(\,v_1\,v_2\,) \\ &= (\cos t)\,1_{C(V)} \;+\; (\sin t)\,(\,v_1\,v_2\,)\end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 13. Let the **Spin group** of the vector space V be the Lie group associated to the Lie subalgebra $C^2(V)$ of the Clifford algebra $C(V)$, ie.

$$\mathrm{Spin}(V) \;=\; \exp C^2(V)$$

Remark . The adjoint action τ of the Lie algebra $C^2(V)$ on V may be exponentiated to an orthogonal action of **conjugation** which is denoted by $\underline{\tau}$. Explicitly, for $g \in \mathrm{Spin}(V)$ and $v \in V$, there is a fundamental relation

$$\underline{\tau}(g)\,v \;=\; g\,v\,g^{-1}$$

Indeed, writing $g = \exp(a)$ for some $a \in C^2(V)$, then

$$[\,a\,,\,v\,] \;=\; \tau(a)\,v$$

implies

$$\exp(a)\,v\,(\exp(a))^{-1} \;=\; \exp(\,\tau(a)\,)\,v$$

In other words, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd} C^2(V) \arrow[r, "\tau"] \arrow[d, "\exp"'] & \mathfrak{so}(V) \arrow[d, "\exp"] \\ \mathrm{Spin}(V) \arrow[r, "\underline{\tau}"'] & \mathrm{SO}(V) \end{tikzcd}$$

Theorem 5. *If* $\dim V > 1$*, then the homomorphism*

$$\underline{\tau} : \mathrm{Spin}(V) \longrightarrow \mathrm{SO}(V)$$

is a double covering.

Proof. Since τ is an isomorphism and the exponential map is surjective, therefore $\underline{\tau}$ is also surjective. Pick any $g \in \mathrm{Ker}(\underline{\tau})$. Then $g = \exp(a)$ for some $a \in C^2(V)$. Now $\underline{\tau}\,(g) = 1_{\mathrm{SO}(V)}$ implies $[\,a\,,\,v\,] = 0$ for all $v \in V$. By Lemma 2 , we have

$\iota(v)\,\sigma(a) = 0$ for all $v \in V$. Therefore $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and is identified with a scalar multiplication in $\mathfrak{so}(V)$. So g is also a scalar multiplication.

Now apply the anti-automorphism $a \mapsto a^*$ on $C^2(V)$. For orthogonal vectors $v_1, v_2 \in V$,

$$(\,v_1\,,\, v_2\,)^* \;=\; (v_2)^*\,(v_1)^* \;=\; (-v_2)\,(-v_1) \;=\; v_2\,v_1 \;=\; -v_1\,v_2$$

$C^2(V)$ may be generated (as a vector space) by pairs of orthogonal vectors, therefore

$$a^* \;=\; -a \qquad\qquad \forall a \in C^2(V)$$

Now we have

$$(\exp(a))^* \;=\; \exp(a^*) \;=\; \exp(-a)$$

which implies that for any $g \in \mathrm{Spin}(V)$,

$$g \cdot g^* \;=\; 1_{\mathrm{Spin}(V)}$$

Hence any $g \in \mathrm{Ker}(\underline{\tau})$ is a scalar with $g \cdot g^* = 1$ which implies $g = \pm 1$.

For $\dim V > 1$, we may apply Theorem 4 with $t = \pi$ and hence -1 is in $\mathrm{Spin}(V)$. As a result, $\mathrm{Ker}(\underline{\tau}) = \mathbb{Z}_{\nvDash}$ and we have a double cover

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\nvDash} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Spin}(\mathbb{V}) \xrightarrow{\underline{\tau}} \mathrm{SO}(\mathbb{V}) \longrightarrow \nvdash$$

□

1.4. **Four Dimensional Case.** Now consider the most interesting case when $V \cong \mathbb{R}^{\ntrianglerighteq}$. Fix a basis $\{\, e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 \,\}$ which is orthonormal with respect to the fixed quadratic form Q. Then any vector $v \in V$ may be written as

$$v \;=\; \sum_{k=1}^{4} v^k\, e_k$$

and the Clifford algebra is

$$C(\mathbb{R}^{\ntrianglerighteq}) \;=\; \mathrm{span}\{\, \nVdash^{\ltimes_{\nVdash}} \nvDash^{\ltimes_{\nvDash}} \nVDash^{\ltimes_{\nVDash}} \ntrianglerighteq^{\ltimes_{\ntrianglerighteq}} \mid \ltimes_{\sqsupset} \in \{\nvdash, \nVdash\}\,\}$$

where $c_i \;=\; \mathbf{q}(\, e_i\,)$

Notation . For convenience, we may denote c_i by e_i without ambiguity.

Especially,

$$C^2(\mathbb{R}^{\ntrianglerighteq}) \;=\; \mathrm{span}\{\, \nVdash\nvDash\,,\, \nVdash\nVDash\,,\, \nVdash\ntrianglerighteq\,,\, \nvDash\nVDash\,,\, \nvDash\ntrianglerighteq\,,\, \nVDash\ntrianglerighteq\,\}$$

Now consider the isomorphism $\tau : C^2(\mathbb{R}^{\ntrianglerighteq}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{so}(\ntrianglerighteq) = \mathfrak{so}(\mathbb{R}^{\ntrianglerighteq})$. and the corresponding $\underline{\tau} : \mathrm{Spin}(4) \longrightarrow \mathrm{SO}(4)$. We have

$$\tau(e_i e_j) \cdot v \;= [\, e_i e_j \,,\, \sum_{k=1}^{4} v^k\, e_k\,]$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{k=1}^{4} v^k \, [\, e_i e_j \,,\, e_k \,] \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{4} v^k \, (\, e_i e_j e_k \,-\, e_k e_i e_j \,) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{4} v^k \, (\, e_i e_j e_k \,+\, e_i e_k e_j \,-\, e_i e_k e_j \,-\, e_k e_i e_j \,) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{4} v^k \, (\, -2\, Q(\, e_j \,,\, e_k \,)\, e_i \,+\, 2\, Q(\, e_k \,,\, e_i \,)\, e_j \,) \\
&= -\, 2\, v^j\, e_i \,+ 2\, v^i\, e_j
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\tau\,(\, e_i e_j \,)$ corresponds to $2 \cdot m(i,j) \,\in \mathfrak{so}(4)$ where $m(i,j) \,=\, (m(i,j)_{\alpha\beta})$ is a matrix with entries

$$
m(i,j)_{\alpha\beta} \;=\; \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \alpha = j \text{ and } \beta = i, \\ -1, & \text{if } \alpha = i \text{ and } \beta = j, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

Explicitly, we have

$$
\tau(\, e_1 e_2 \,) \;=\; 2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\qquad
\tau(\, e_1 e_3 \,) \;=\; 2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
\tau(\, e_1 e_4 \,) \;=\; 2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\qquad
\tau(\, e_2 e_3 \,) \;=\; 2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
\tau(\, e_2 e_4 \,) \;=\; 2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\qquad
\tau(\, e_3 e_4 \,) \;=\; 2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$

Notice that for $t \in [0, 2\pi)$, $\tau(\, \frac{t}{2}\, e_i e_j \,)$ corresponds to the matrix $t \cdot m(i,j)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
(t \cdot m(i,j)_{\alpha\beta})^2 \;&=\; -t^2 \cdot \Delta(i,j) \\
(t \cdot m(i,j)_{\alpha\beta})^4 \;&=\; t^4 \cdot \Delta(i,j)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Delta(i,j)$ is a matrix with

$$
\Delta(i,j)_{\alpha\beta} \;=\; \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \alpha = \beta = i \text{ or } \alpha = \beta = j, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

therefore we have

$$\exp\,\tau\,(\,\frac{t}{2}\,e_ie_j\,)\;=\;(\cos t-1)\cdot\Delta(i,j)\;+\;1_{\mathrm{SO(4)}}\;+\;(\sin t)\,m(i,j)$$

Since we have the commutative relation

$$\underline{\tau}\;\cdot\;\exp\;=\;\exp\cdot\tau,$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\underline{\tau}\;\exp\,(\,t\,e_ie_j\,)\;&=\exp\;\tau\,(\,te_ie_j\,)\\&=(\cos 2t-1)\cdot\Delta(i,j)\;+\;1_{\mathrm{SO(4)}}\;+\;(\sin 2t)\,m(i,j)\end{aligned}$$

Explicitly, we have

$$\underline{\tau}(\,\exp(\,t\,e_1e_2\,)\,)\;=\;\begin{pmatrix}\cos 2t & -\sin 2t & 0 & 0\\ \sin 2t & \cos 2t & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}$$

$$\underline{\tau}(\,\exp(\,t\,e_1e_3\,)\,)\;=\;\begin{pmatrix}\cos 2t & 0 & -\sin 2t & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0\\ \sin 2t & 0 & \cos 2t & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}$$

$$\underline{\tau}(\,\exp(\,t\,e_1e_4\,)\,)\;=\;\begin{pmatrix}\cos 2t & 0 & 0 & -\sin 2t\\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0\\ \sin 2t & 0 & 0 & \cos 2t\end{pmatrix}$$

$$\underline{\tau}(\,\exp(\,t\,e_2e_3\,)\,)\;=\;\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \cos 2t & -\sin 2t & 0\\ 0 & \sin 2t & \cos 2t & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}$$

$$\underline{\tau}(\,\exp(\,t\,e_2e_4\,)\,)\;=\;\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \cos 2t & 0 & -\sin 2t\\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & \sin 2t & 0 & \cos 2t\end{pmatrix}$$

$$\underline{\tau}(\,\exp(\,t\,e_3e_4\,)\,)\;=\;\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \cos 2t & -\sin 2t\\ 0 & 0 & \sin 2t & \cos 2t\end{pmatrix}$$

2. The Quaternions and Related Representations

In this section, we shall look at Clifford algebra and the Spin groups more concretely. To do this, we need to use the quaternion. We shall define the Spin group in another more concrete way and find more relations between Spin(4) another classical groups. Our references here are [2], [7], [5], and [4].

2.1. Basic Linear Algebra of the Quaternions.

Definition 14. Let $\mathbb{H}$ be the **quaternion algebra** which, as a vector space over $\mathbb{R}$, has four generators denoted by $\mathbf{1}\,,\,\mathbf{i}\,,\,\mathbf{j}\,,\,\mathbf{k}$ and its multiplication satisfies the relations of the group Q_8, namely

$$\mathbf{1}\,q \,=\, q\,\mathbf{1} \,=\, q \qquad \forall q \in \mathbb{H}$$

$$\mathbf{i}^2 \,=\, \mathbf{j}^2 \,=\, \mathbf{k}^2 \,=\, -1$$

$$\mathbf{i}\,\mathbf{j} \,=\, \mathbf{k}, \qquad \mathbf{j}\,\mathbf{k} \,=\, \mathbf{i}, \qquad \mathbf{k}\,\mathbf{i} \,=\, \mathbf{j},$$

$$\mathbf{j}\,\mathbf{i} \,=\, -\mathbf{k}, \qquad \mathbf{k}\,\mathbf{j} \,=\, -\mathbf{i}, \qquad \mathbf{i}\,\mathbf{k} \,=\, -\mathbf{j},$$

Since $\mathbf{1}$ is the unit of the algebra, it may be omitted for convenience. Therefore any element $q \in \mathbb{H}$ may be uniquely written as $a + b\mathbf{i} + c\mathbf{j} + d\mathbf{k}$ where $a,\ b,\ c,\ d \in \mathbb{R}$.

Just like the complex field $\mathbb{C}$, we have the following

Definition 15. For any $q \,=\, a \,+\, b\mathbf{i} \,+\, c\mathbf{j} \,+\, d\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{H}$, the **conjugate** of q is $\overline{q} \,=\, a \,-\, b\mathbf{i} \,+\, c\mathbf{j} \,+\, d\mathbf{k}$. The **real part** of q is $\mathrm{Re}(q) = a$ and the **imaginary part** of q is $\mathrm{Im}(q) = b\mathbf{i} \,+\, c\mathbf{j} \,+\, d\mathbf{k}$.

Moerover the **real part** $\mathrm{Re}\,\mathbb{H}$ of $\mathbb{H}$ is $\mathbb{R}$ while the **imaginary part** $\mathrm{Im}(\mathbb{H})$ of $\mathbb{H}$ is $\mathbb{R}\mathbf{i} \oplus \mathbb{R}\mathbf{j} \oplus \mathbb{R}\mathbf{k}$.

There is a natural **inner product** or **quadratic form** $Q(\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,)$ on $\mathbb{H}$ given by

$$Q(\,q_1\,,\,q_2\,) \,=\, q_1\,\overline{q}_2$$

that means

$$\begin{aligned} &Q(\,a_1 + b_1\mathbf{i} + c_1\mathbf{j} + d_1\mathbf{k}\,,\,a_2 + b_2\mathbf{i} + c_2\mathbf{j} + d_2\mathbf{k}\,) \\ &= a_1a_2 \,+\, b_1b_2 \,+\, c_1c_2 \,+\, d_1d_2 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mathbb{H}$ becomes a normed vector space with the corresponding **norm** given by

$$\|\,q\,\| \,=\, \sqrt{Q(\,q\,,\,q\,)} \,=\, \sqrt{q\,\overline{q}}$$

Under this norm, the basis $\{\,\mathbf{1}\,,\,\mathbf{i}\,,\,\mathbf{j}\,,\,\mathbf{k}\,\}$ is **orthonormal**.

Obviously we also have the following

Lemma 4. *For any* $q = a + b\mathbf{i} + c\mathbf{j} + d\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{H}$, *if* $q \neq 0$, *then there exists a multiplicative inverse*

$$q^{-1} = \frac{\overline{q}}{\|q\|^2}$$

such that

$$q\,q^{-1} = q^{-1}q = \mathbf{1}$$

That means $\mathbb{H}$ *is in fact a* **field**

Remark . If we consider the n -dimensional **quaternion vector space** $\mathbb{H}^{\ltimes}$, then we have a standard inner product

$$Q(\,(q_1, \cdots, q_n)\,,\,(q'_1, \cdots, q'_n)\,) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_i\,\overline{q'}_i$$

and a standard norm

$$\|\,(q_1, \cdots, q_n)\,\| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_i\,\overline{q}_i$$

2.2. **Real and Complex Representations.** Firstly, we look at the real representation.

Theorem 6. *The action of* $\mathbb{H}$ *on itself by left multiplication corresponds to the action of* $\mathrm{End}(\mathbb{R}^{\ntrianglerighteq})$ *on* $\mathbb{R}^{\ntrianglerighteq}$. *Explicitly, we have a representation*

$$\mathbb{H} \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{R}^{\ntrianglerighteq})$$

given by

$$a + b\mathbf{i} + c\mathbf{j} + d\mathbf{k} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a & -b & -c & -d \\ b & a & -d & c \\ c & d & a & -b \\ d & -c & b & a \end{pmatrix}$$

Proof. Firstly, we have

$$\mathbf{1}\,q = q = 1_{\mathrm{End}(\mathbb{R}^{\ntrianglerighteq})}\,q, \qquad \forall q \in \mathbb{H}$$

Now, look at the action of left multiplication by $\mathbf{i}$:

$$\mathbf{i}\,\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{i}, \qquad \mathbf{i}\,\mathbf{i} = -\mathbf{1}, \qquad \mathbf{i}\,\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{k}, \qquad \mathbf{i}\,\mathbf{k} = -\mathbf{j},$$

Therefore, by writing

$$a + b\mathbf{i} + c\mathbf{j} + d\mathbf{k} \quad \text{as} \quad \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{pmatrix},$$

we have

$$\mathbf{i}\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -b \\ a \\ -d \\ c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{pmatrix}$$

Similarly

$$\mathbf{j}\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -c \\ d \\ a \\ -b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{k}\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -d \\ -c \\ b \\ a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence we have the required representation. It is a homomorphism because the matrices corresponding to $\mathbf{1}$, $\mathbf{i}$, $\mathbf{j}$ and $\mathbf{k}$ satisfies the multiplicative relations of the group Q_8. It is obviously injective and linear over $\mathbb{R}$. □

Now we consider the complex representations of $\mathbb{H}$.

Theorem 7. *There is a isomorphism from $\mathbb{H}$ into the algebra* [1] *of 2×2 complex matrices of the form*

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_1 & c_2 \\ -\overline{c}_2 & \overline{c}_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. The required map is given by

$$a + b\mathbf{i} + c\mathbf{j} + d\mathbf{k} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a + b\mathbf{i} & c + d\mathbf{i} \\ -c + d\mathbf{i} & a - b\mathbf{i} \end{pmatrix}$$

It is obviously bijective.

We also have

$$\mathbf{1} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{i} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{i} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbf{i} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{j} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{k} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{i} \\ \mathbf{i} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

---

[1] We will see that this algebra is in fact $\mathbb{R} \cdot \mathrm{SU}(\nvDash)$.

Therefore it is straight forward to see that this map is an isomorphism. □

Theorem 8. *There is an isomorphism*

$$\mathbb{C}^{\nvDash} \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}$$

given by

$$(\, c_1\,,\, c_2\,) \,\mapsto\, c_1 \,+\, c_2\mathbf{j}$$

This isomorphism is **norm preserving***.*

Proof. This map is obviously an isomorphism of vector spaces over $\mathbb{C}$. Now the norm of $\mathbb{C}^{\nvDash}$ is given by

$$\|(\, c_1\,,\, c_2\,)\| \,=\, (\mathrm{Re}\, c_1)^2 \,+\, (\mathrm{Im}\, c_1)^2 \,+\, (\mathrm{Re}\, c_2)^2 \,+\, (\mathrm{Im}\, c_2)^2$$

which is equal to the norm of its image in $\mathbb{H}$. Therefore $\mathbb{C}^{\nvDash}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{H}$ as normed $\mathbb{C}$ vector spaces. □

2.3. **The Quaternion Group.** If we generalize the concept of orthogonal and unitary group to quaternion vector spaces, we may have the following

Definition 16. Let the **symplectic group**, $\mathrm{Sp}(n)$, be the group of norm-preserving automorphisms of the $\mathbb{H}^{\ltimes}$, ie.

$$\mathrm{Sp}(n) \,=\, \{\, \phi \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{H}) \mid \|\, \phi \shortparallel \| \,=\, \| \shortparallel \| \, \forall \shortparallel \in \mathbb{H}\,\}$$

As the most interesting example of symplectic groups, we have

Definition 17. Let the **quaternion group** be the symplectic group of $\mathbb{H}$, which is simply

$$\mathrm{Sp}(1) \,=\, \{\, q \in \mathbb{H} \mid \| \shortparallel \| \,= \nvDash \,\}$$

Remark . Every element q in $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ has inverse $q^{-1} \,=\, \overline{q}$.

Lemma 5. *The underlying manifold of the Lie group* $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ *is the three-sphere* S^3*.*

Proof. Obvious from definition. □

Lemma 6. *We have an isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Sp}(1) \,\cong\, \mathrm{SU}(2)$$

as Lie groups.

Proof. Since Sp(1) consists of elements in $\mathbb{H}$ of unit norm,

$$\mathrm{Sp}(1) \,=\, \{\, a \,+\, b\mathbf{i} \,+\, c\mathbf{j} \,+\, d\mathbf{k} \,|\, a^2 \,+\, b^2 \,+\, c^2 \,+\, d^2 \,=\, 1 \,\}$$

By the identification

$$a \,+\, b\mathbf{i} \,+\, c\mathbf{j} \,+\, d\mathbf{k} \;\mapsto\; \begin{pmatrix} a+b\mathbf{i} & c+d\mathbf{i} \\ -c+d\mathbf{i} & a-b\mathbf{i} \end{pmatrix}$$

we have

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} a+b\mathbf{i} & c+d\mathbf{i} \\ -c+d\mathbf{i} & a-b\mathbf{i} \end{pmatrix} \,=\, a^2 \,+\, b^2 \,+\, c^2 \,+\, d^2 \,=\, 1$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} a+b\mathbf{i} & c+d\mathbf{i} \\ -c+d\mathbf{i} & a-b\mathbf{i} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a+b\mathbf{i} & c+d\mathbf{i} \\ -c+d\mathbf{i} & a-b\mathbf{i} \end{pmatrix}^{*} &= \begin{pmatrix} a+b\mathbf{i} & c+d\mathbf{i} \\ -c+d\mathbf{i} & a-b\mathbf{i} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a-b\mathbf{i} & -c-d\mathbf{i} \\ c-d\mathbf{i} & a+b\mathbf{i} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a^2+b^2+c^2+d^2 & 0 \\ 0 & a^2+b^2+c^2+d^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{pmatrix} a+b\mathbf{i} & c+d\mathbf{i} \\ -c+d\mathbf{i} & a-b\mathbf{i} \end{pmatrix} \,\in\, \mathrm{SU}(2)$$

□

The significance of Sp(1) lies on the following action due to Hopf.

Definition 18. Let the **Hopf action** $\mathfrak{h}$ of Sp(1) on $\mathbb{H}$ be the representation

$$\mathfrak{h} \,:\, \mathrm{Sp}(1) \;\longrightarrow\; \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{H})$$

such that for any $\phi \in \mathrm{Sp}(1)$, $\mathfrak{h}(\phi) : \mathbb{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is given by the conjugation

$$\mathfrak{h}(\phi) \,:\, q \;\mapsto\; \phi\, q\, \phi^{-1} \qquad \forall q \in \mathbb{H}$$

Theorem 9. *There is a* $\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{\nVDash}$ *representation of the quaternion group* Sp(1) *through the Hopf action* $\mathfrak{h}$. *Explicitly, we have*

$$\mathfrak{h} \,:\, \mathrm{Sp}(1) \;\longrightarrow\; \mathrm{End}(\mathrm{Re}\,\mathbb{H}) \,\oplus\, \mathrm{End}(\mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{H})$$

where for any $\phi \in \mathrm{Sp}(1)$,

$$\mathfrak{h}(\phi)\,|_{\mathrm{End}(\mathrm{Re}\,\mathbb{H})} \,=\, 1$$

and

$$\mathfrak{h}(\phi)\,|_{\mathrm{End}(\mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{H})} \,\in\, \mathrm{SO}(\mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{H})$$

Proof. To find out the required representation, we pick

$$\phi = \phi_1 + \phi_2\mathbf{i} + \phi_3\mathbf{j} + \phi_4\mathbf{k} \in \mathrm{Sp}(1)$$

and

$$q = q_1 + q_2\mathbf{i} + q_3\mathbf{j} + q_4\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{H}.$$

By using the real representation of the left multiplication, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi q \phi^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 & -\phi_2 & -\phi_3 & -\phi_4 \\ \phi_2 & \phi_1 & -\phi_4 & \phi_3 \\ \phi_3 & \phi_4 & \phi_1 & -\phi_2 \\ \phi_4 & -\phi_3 & \phi_2 & \phi_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \\ q_3 \\ q_4 \end{pmatrix} \phi^{-1} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 q_1 - \phi_2 q_2 - \phi_3 q_3 - \phi_4 q_4 \\ \phi_2 q_1 + \phi_1 q_2 - \phi_4 q_3 + \phi_3 q_4 \\ \phi_3 q_1 + \phi_4 q_2 + \phi_1 q_3 - \phi_2 q_4 \\ \phi_4 q_1 - \phi_3 q_2 + \phi_2 q_3 + \phi_1 q_4 \end{pmatrix} \phi^{-1} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix}
\begin{matrix} \phi_1 q_1 - \phi_2 q_2 \\ -\phi_3 q_3 - \phi_4 q_4 \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} -(\phi_2 q_1 + \phi_1 q_2 \\ -\phi_4 q_3 + \phi_3 q_4) \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} -(\phi_3 q_1 + \phi_4 q_2 \\ +\phi_1 q_3 - \phi_2 q_4) \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} -(\phi_4 q_1 - \phi_3 q_2 \\ +\phi_2 q_3 + \phi_1 q_4) \end{matrix} \\
\begin{matrix} \phi_2 q_1 + \phi_1 q_2 \\ -\phi_4 q_3 + \phi_3 q_4 \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} \phi_1 q_1 - \phi_2 q_2 \\ -\phi_3 q_3 - \phi_4 q_4 \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} -(\phi_4 q_1 - \phi_3 q_2 \\ +\phi_2 q_3 + \phi_1 q_4) \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} \phi_3 q_1 + \phi_4 q_2 \\ +\phi_1 q_3 - \phi_2 q_4 \end{matrix} \\
\begin{matrix} \phi_3 q_1 + \phi_4 q_2 \\ +\phi_1 q_3 - \phi_2 q_4 \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} \phi_4 q_1 - \phi_3 q_2 \\ +\phi_2 q_3 + \phi_1 q_4 \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} \phi_1 q_1 - \phi_2 q_2 \\ -\phi_3 q_3 - \phi_4 q_4 \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} -(\phi_2 q_1 + \phi_1 q_2 \\ -\phi_4 q_3 + \phi_3 q_4) \end{matrix} \\
\begin{matrix} \phi_4 q_1 - \phi_3 q_2 \\ +\phi_2 q_3 + \phi_1 q_4 \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} -(\phi_3 q_1 + \phi_4 q_2 \\ +\phi_1 q_3 - \phi_2 q_4) \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} \phi_2 q_1 + \phi_1 q_2 \\ -\phi_4 q_3 + \phi_3 q_4 \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} \phi_1 q_1 - \phi_2 q_2 \\ -\phi_3 q_3 - \phi_4 q_4 \end{matrix}
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ -\phi_2 \\ -\phi_3 \\ -\phi_4 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \mathbf{\ldots}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi_1^2+\phi_2^2-\phi_3^2-\phi_4^2 & -2\phi_1\phi_4+2\phi_2\phi_3 & 2\phi_1\phi_3+2\phi_2\phi_4 \\ 0 & 2\phi_1\phi_4+2\phi_2\phi_3 & \phi_1^2-\phi_2^2+\phi_3^2-\phi_4^2 & -2\phi_1\phi_2+2\phi_3\phi_4 \\ 0 & -2\phi_1\phi_3+2\phi_2\phi_4 & 2\phi_1\phi_2+2\phi_3\phi_4 & \phi_1^2-\phi_2^2-\phi_3^2+\phi_4^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \\ q_3 \\ q_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

The required representation is given by mapping

$$\phi \;=\; \phi_1 \;+\; \phi_2\mathbf{i} \;+\; \phi_3\mathbf{j} \;+\; \phi_4\mathbf{k} \;\in \mathrm{Sp}(1)$$

into the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi_1^2+\phi_2^2-\phi_3^2-\phi_4^2 & -2\phi_1\phi_4+2\phi_2\phi_3 & 2\phi_1\phi_3+2\phi_2\phi_4 \\ 0 & 2\phi_1\phi_4+2\phi_2\phi_3 & \phi_1^2-\phi_2^2+\phi_3^2-\phi_4^2 & -2\phi_1\phi_2+2\phi_3\phi_4 \\ 0 & -2\phi_1\phi_3+2\phi_2\phi_4 & 2\phi_1\phi_2+2\phi_3\phi_4 & \phi_1^2-\phi_2^2-\phi_3^2+\phi_4^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

It is tedious but easy to show that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi_1^2+\phi_2^2-\phi_3^2-\phi_4^2 & -2\phi_1\phi_4+2\phi_2\phi_3 & 2\phi_1\phi_3+2\phi_2\phi_4 \\ 2\phi_1\phi_4+2\phi_2\phi_3 & \phi_1^2-\phi_2^2+\phi_3^2-\phi_4^2 & -2\phi_1\phi_2+2\phi_3\phi_4 \\ -2\phi_1\phi_3+2\phi_2\phi_4 & 2\phi_1\phi_2+2\phi_3\phi_4 & \phi_1^2-\phi_2^2-\phi_3^2+\phi_4^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \in \mathrm{O}(3)$$

Since $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ is connected and for $\mathbf{1} \;\in \mathrm{Sp}(1)$,

$$\det(\,\mathfrak{h}(\mathbf{1})\,|_{\mathrm{End}(\mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{H})}\,) \;=\; 1$$

therefore $\mathfrak{h}\,|_{\mathrm{End}(\mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{H})}(\,\mathrm{Sp}(1)\,) \;\subset \mathrm{SO}(\mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{H})$. □

Corollary 3. *There is a two-fold covering*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\nvDash} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(\nVdash) \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathrm{SO}(\nVDash) \longrightarrow \nvdash$$

where $\rho \;=\; \mathfrak{h}\,|_{\mathrm{End}(\mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{H})}$.

Proof. Let $\phi \;=\; \phi_1 \;+\; \phi_2\mathbf{i} \;+\; \phi_3\mathbf{j} \;+\; \phi_4\mathbf{k}$ be any element in $\mathrm{Ker}(\rho)$. Therefore $\rho(\,\phi\,) \;=\; 1_{\mathrm{End}(\mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{H})}$ which is diagonal. However, for any

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi_1^2+\phi_2^2-\phi_3^2-\phi_4^2 & -2\phi_1\phi_4+2\phi_2\phi_3 & 2\phi_1\phi_3+2\phi_2\phi_4 \\ 2\phi_1\phi_4+2\phi_2\phi_3 & \phi_1^2-\phi_2^2+\phi_3^2-\phi_4^2 & -2\phi_1\phi_2+2\phi_3\phi_4 \\ -2\phi_1\phi_3+2\phi_2\phi_4 & 2\phi_1\phi_2+2\phi_3\phi_4 & \phi_1^2-\phi_2^2-\phi_3^2+\phi_4^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

to be diagonal, we must have

$$\phi_i \phi_j \; = \; 0, \qquad \text{for } 1 \leq i < j \leq 4$$

which implies one of the following cases

1. $\phi_1 \neq 0$, and $\phi_2 = \phi_3 = \phi_4 = 0$
2. $\phi_2 \neq 0$, and $\phi_3 = \phi_4 = \phi_1 = 0$
3. $\phi_3 \neq 0$, and $\phi_4 = \phi_1 = \phi_2 = 0$
4. $\phi_4 \neq 0$, and $\phi_1 = \phi_2 = \phi_3 = 0$

These cases corresponds to the following elements in Sp(1):

1. $\Longrightarrow \quad \phi_1 = \pm 1 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \phi = \pm \mathbf{1}$
2. $\Longrightarrow \quad \phi_2 = \pm 1 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \phi = \pm \mathbf{i}$
3. $\Longrightarrow \quad \phi_3 = \pm 1 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \phi = \pm \mathbf{j}$
4. $\Longrightarrow \quad \phi_4 = \pm 1 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \phi = \pm \mathbf{k}$

and we have the following correspondence :

$$\rho(\pm \mathbf{1}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \rho(\pm \mathbf{i}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\rho(\pm \mathbf{j}) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \rho(\pm \mathbf{k}) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore we see that $\mathrm{Ker}(\rho) = \mathbb{Z}_{\nvDash}$. In fact, for any ϕ in Sp(1) ,

$$\rho(-\phi) = \rho(\phi)$$

□

Corollary 4. *There is a two-fold covering*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\nvDash} \longrightarrow \mathrm{SU}(\nvDash) \longrightarrow \mathrm{SO}(\nVdash) \longrightarrow \nvdash$$

Proof. By **Lemma 6** , we have an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{SU}(2) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(1)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a + b\mathbf{i} & c + d\mathbf{i} \\ -c + d\mathbf{i} & a - b\mathbf{i} \end{pmatrix} \mapsto a + b\mathbf{i} + c\mathbf{j} + d\mathbf{k}$$

which combines with the two-fold cover

$$\mathrm{Sp}(1) \longrightarrow \mathrm{SO}(3)$$

of **Corollary 3** gives the required two-fold cover :

$$\begin{pmatrix} a+b\mathbf{i} & c+d\mathbf{i} \\ -c+d\mathbf{i} & a-b\mathbf{i} \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a^2+b^2-c^2-d^2 & -2ad+2bc & 2ac+2bd \\ 2ad+2bc & a^2-b^2+c^2-d^2 & -2ab+2cd \\ -2ac+2bd & 2ab+2cd & a^2-b^2-c^2+d^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

□

2.4. **Realization of Low Dimensional Clifford Algebras.** We now apply the quaternion to the representation of Clifford algebras in low dimensions.

Lemma 7. *We have an isomorphism*

$$C(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{C}$$

of algebras over $\mathbb{R}$*.*

Proof. By definition,

$$C(\mathbb{R}) = \{\nVdash \mid \nVdash\nVdash = -\nVDash\}$$

Hence $C(\mathbb{R})$ contains elements $a+be_1$ with $e_1^2=-1$. So by identifying e_1 with $\mathbf{i}$, we may get the required isomorphism. □

Lemma 8. *We have an isomorphism*

$$C(\mathbb{R}^{\nvDash}) \cong \mathbb{H}$$

of algebras over $\mathbb{R}$*.*

Proof. By choosing orthonormal basis e_1, e_2 of $\mathbb{R}^{\nvDash}$,

$$C(\mathbb{R}^{\nvDash}) = \{\nVdash, \nvDash \mid \text{ב}\text{ג} + \text{ג}\text{ב} = -\nvDash\mathbb{Q}(\text{ב}, \text{ג})\}$$

Hence $C(\mathbb{R}^{\nvDash})$ contains elements $a+be_1+ce_2+de_1e_2$ with

$$e_1^2 = e_2^2 = (e_1e_2)^2 = -1$$

$$e_1e_2 = (e_1e_2), \quad e_2(e_1e_2) = e_1, \quad (e_1e_2)e_1 = e_2$$

$$e_2e_1 = -(e_1e_2), \quad (e_1e_2)e_2 = -e_1, \quad e_1(e_1e_2) = -e_2$$

So by identifying e_1 with $\mathbf{i}$, e_2 with $\mathbf{j}$ and e_1e_2 with $\mathbf{k}$, we may get the required isomorphism. □

Lemma 9. *We have an isomorphism*

$$C(\mathbb{R}^{\nVDash}) \cong \mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H}$$

of algebras over $\mathbb{R}$*.*

Proof. By choosing orthonormal basis e_1, e_2, e_3 of $\mathbb{R}^{\nVDash}$,

$$C(\mathbb{R}^{\nVDash}) = \{\nVdash, \nvDash, \nVDash \,|\, \beth\gimel + \gimel\beth = -\nvDash\mathbb{Q}(\beth, \gimel)\}$$

As a real vector space, $C(\mathbb{R}^{\nVDash})$ has eight generators

$$1, \quad e_1, \quad e_2, \quad e_3, \quad e_1e_2, \quad e_1e_3, \quad e_2e_3, \quad e_1e_2e_3$$

On the other hand, $\mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H}$ also has eight generators

$$\mathbf{1} \oplus 0, \quad \mathbf{i} \oplus 0, \quad \mathbf{j} \oplus 0, \quad \mathbf{k} \oplus 0, \qquad 0 \oplus \mathbf{1}, \quad 0 \oplus \mathbf{i}, \quad 0 \oplus \mathbf{j}, \quad 0 \oplus \mathbf{k}$$

The required map

$$C(\mathbb{R}^{\nVDash}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H}$$

is given by

$$\begin{array}{rlrl} 1 & \mapsto \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{1}, & e_1e_2e_3 & \mapsto -\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{1} \\ e_1 & \mapsto \mathbf{k} \oplus \mathbf{k}, & e_1e_2 & \mapsto -\mathbf{i} \oplus \mathbf{i} \\ e_2 & \mapsto \mathbf{j} \oplus -\mathbf{j}, & e_1e_3 & \mapsto -\mathbf{j} \oplus -\mathbf{j} \\ e_3 & \mapsto -\mathbf{i} \oplus -\mathbf{i}, & e_2e_3 & \mapsto \mathbf{k} \oplus -\mathbf{k} \end{array}$$

while the inverse

$$\mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{R}^{\nVDash})$$

is given by

$$\begin{array}{rlrl} \mathbf{1} \oplus 0 & \mapsto \dfrac{1 - e_1e_2e_3}{2}, & 0 \oplus \mathbf{1} & \mapsto \dfrac{1 + e_1e_2e_3}{2} \\ \mathbf{i} \oplus 0 & \mapsto \dfrac{-e_1e_2 - e_3}{2}, & 0 \oplus \mathbf{i} & \mapsto \dfrac{e_1e_2 - e_3}{2} \\ \mathbf{j} \oplus 0 & \mapsto \dfrac{-e_1e_3 + e_2}{2}, & 0 \oplus \mathbf{j} & \mapsto \dfrac{-e_1e_3 - e_2}{2} \\ \mathbf{k} \oplus 0 & \mapsto \dfrac{e_2e_3 + e_1}{2}, & 0 \oplus \mathbf{k} & \mapsto \dfrac{-e_2e_3 + e_1}{2} \end{array}$$

□

Remark . There are other homomorphisms between $C(\mathbb{R}^{\nVDash})$ and $\mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H}$. The one we choose in the above proof is the one we need in the future which is compatible with our description of the action of $C^2(\mathbb{R}^{\nsucceq}) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ on the spinors.

To find the representation of higher dimensional Clofford algebra is more difficult. However, our main interest is the even Clifford algebra of dimension four. This can be found with the help of the following observation:

Lemma 10. *We have a canonical isomorphism of the two algebras*

$$C^+(\mathbb{R}^{\ltimes + \nVdash}) \cong \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{R}^{\ltimes})$$

Proof. Pick an orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1,\dots,n}$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and embed $\mathbb{R}^n$ into $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ canonically. Pick $e_{n+1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ such that $\{e_i\}_{i=1,\dots,n+1}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. The embedding $\mathbb{R}^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ induces the map

$$C(\,\mathbb{R}^n\,) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^+(\,\mathbb{R}^{n+1}\,)$$

$$\begin{aligned} e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\dots e_{i_{2k+1}} &\mapsto e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\dots e_{i_{2k+1}}e_{n+1} \\ e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\dots e_{i_{2k}} &\mapsto e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\dots e_{i_{2k}} \end{aligned}$$

for any $k = 1, \dots, [\frac{n}{2}]$. This map is obviously an isomorphism. □

Corollary 5. *We have an isomorphism*

$$C^+(\,\mathbb{R}^4\,) \cong \mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H}$$

Proof. By **Lemma 10**, we have

$$C^+(\,\mathbb{R}^4\,) \cong \mathbb{C}(\,\mathbb{R}^3\,)$$

while by **Lemma 9**, we have

$$C(\,\mathbb{R}^3\,) \cong \mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H}$$

Their composition gives the required isomorphism.

Explicitly, we have

$$C^+(\,\mathbb{R}^4\,) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H}$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\mapsto \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{1}, & e_1e_2e_3e_4 &\mapsto -\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{1} \\ e_1e_4 &\mapsto \mathbf{k} \oplus \mathbf{k}, & e_1e_2 &\mapsto -\mathbf{i} \oplus \mathbf{i} \\ e_2e_4 &\mapsto \mathbf{j} \oplus -\mathbf{j}, & e_1e_3 &\mapsto -\mathbf{j} \oplus -\mathbf{j} \\ e_3e_4 &\mapsto -\mathbf{i} \oplus -\mathbf{i}, & e_2e_3 &\mapsto \mathbf{k} \oplus -\mathbf{k} \end{aligned}$$

and the inverse

$$\mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^+(\,\mathbb{R}^4\,)$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{1} \oplus 0 &\mapsto \frac{1 - e_1e_2e_3e_4}{2}, & 0 \oplus \mathbf{1} &\mapsto \frac{1 + e_1e_2e_3e_4}{2} \\ \mathbf{i} \oplus 0 &\mapsto \frac{-e_1e_2 - e_3e_4}{2}, & 0 \oplus \mathbf{i} &\mapsto \frac{e_1e_2 - e_3e_4}{2} \\ \mathbf{j} \oplus 0 &\mapsto \frac{-e_1e_3 + e_2e_4}{2}, & 0 \oplus \mathbf{j} &\mapsto \frac{-e_1e_3 - e_2e_4}{2} \\ \mathbf{k} \oplus 0 &\mapsto \frac{e_2e_3 + e_1e_4}{2}, & 0 \oplus \mathbf{k} &\mapsto \frac{-e_2e_3 + e_1e_4}{2} \end{aligned}$$

□

2.5. Alternative Definition of the Spin Group.

Definition 19. Let $C^{\times}(V)$ be the **multiplicative group** of the Clifford algebra $C(V)$ over V. Let the **pin group** $\mathrm{Pin}(V)$ of a real vector space V be the subgroup of the multiplicative group generated by unit vectors in the unit sphere in V, ie.

$$\mathrm{Pin}(V) = \{\, v_1 v_2 \cdots v_k \in C^{\times}(V) \,|\, v_i \in S^{\dim V - 1} \hookrightarrow V\}$$

Remark . The $\mathbb{Z}_{\neq}$-grading of the Clifford algebra

$$C(V) = C^{+}(V) \oplus C^{-}(V)$$

induces a similar $\mathbb{Z}_{\neq}$-grading of the pin group

$$\mathrm{Pin}(V) = \mathrm{Pin}^{+}(V) \cup \mathrm{Pin}^{-}(V)$$

where $\mathrm{Pin}^{\pm}(V) = \mathrm{Pin}(V) \cap C^{\pm}(V)$.

Definition 20. The **spin group** $\mathrm{Spin}(V)$ is the subgroup $\mathrm{Pin}^{+}(V)$ of $\mathrm{Pin}(V)$. In other words,

$$\mathrm{Spin}(V) = \{\, v_1 v_2 \cdots v_{2k} \,|\, v_i \in S^{\dim V - 1} \hookrightarrow V\}$$

Remark . This definition is equivalent to the previous one. In fact,

$$\cos t + \sin t\, e_i e_j = e_i\,(\, -\cos t\, e_i + \sin t\, e_j\,)$$

and for any $v_1, v_2 \in S^{\dim V - 1}$, $v_1 v_2$ may be expressed as the product of elements of the form $\cos t + \sin t\, e_i e_j$.

Theorem 10. *We have the following isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Spin}(4) \cong \mathrm{Sp}(1) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1) \cong \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$$

of Lie groups.

Proof. By definition,

$$\mathrm{Spin}(4) = \mathrm{Pin}(4) \cap C^{+}(\mathbb{R}^{\ntrianglerighteq})$$

Now $\mathrm{Pin}(4)$ is the multiplicative group generated by elements in $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ and by **Corollary 5**, we have

$$\mathrm{Spin}(4) = \mathrm{Sp}(1) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1)$$

Explicitly, by our new definition, $\mathrm{Spin}(4)$ is generated by $v_1 v_2$ where

$$v_i = a_i e_1 + b_i e_2 + c_i e_3 + d_i e_4 \in S^3 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\ntrianglerighteq}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 v_2 = &- (\, a_1 a_2 + b_1 b_2 + c_1 c_2 + d_1 d_2\,) \\ &+ (\, a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1\,)\, e_1 e_2 + (\, c_1 d_2 - c_2 d_1\,)\, e_3 e_4 \\ &+ (\, a_1 d_2 - a_2 d_1\,)\, e_1 e_4 + (\, b_1 c_2 - b_2 c_1\,)\, e_2 e_3 \\ &+ (\, a_1 c_2 - a_2 c_1\,)\, e_1 e_3 + (\, b_1 d_2 - b_2 d_1\,)\, e_2 e_4 \end{aligned}$$

which corresponds to the following element in Sp(1) × Sp(1):

$$
\begin{aligned}
&- (\, a_1a_2 \,+\, b_1b_2 \,+\, c_1c_2 \,+\, d_1d_2 \,)\,(\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{1}) \\
&+ (\, a_1b_2 \,-\, a_2b_1 \,)\,(-\mathbf{i} \oplus \mathbf{i})\; (\, c_1d_2 \,-\, c_2d_1 \,)\,(-\mathbf{i} \oplus -\mathbf{i}) \\
&+ (\, a_1d_2 \,-\, a_2d_1 \,)\,(\mathbf{k} \oplus \mathbf{k}) \,+\, (\, b_1c_2 \,-\, b_2c_1 \,)\,(\mathbf{k} \oplus -\mathbf{k}) \\
&+ (\, a_1c_2 \,-\, a_2c_1 \,)\,(-\mathbf{j} \oplus -\mathbf{j}) \,+\, (\, b_1d_2 \,-\, b_2d_1 \,)\,(\mathbf{j} \oplus -\mathbf{j}) \\
= \Big(&-(\, a_1a_2 \,+\, b_1b_2 \,+\, c_1c_2 \,+\, d_1d_2 \,)\,\mathbf{1} \,+\, (\,-\,a_1b_2 \,+\, a_2b_1 \,-\, c_1d_2 \,+\, c_2d_1 \,)\,\mathbf{i} \\
&+ (\,-\,a_1c_2 \,+\, a_2c_1 \,+\, b_1d_2 \,-\, b_2d_1 \,)\,\mathbf{j} \,+\, (\, a_1d_2 \,-\, a_2d_1 \,+\, b_1c_2 \,-\, b_2c_1 \,)\,\mathbf{k}\Big) \\
&\oplus \Big(-(\, a_1a_2 \,+\, b_1b_2 \,+\, c_1c_2 \,+\, d_1d_2 \,)\,\mathbf{1} \,+\, (\, a_1b_2 \,-\, a_2b_1 \,-\, c_1d_2 \,+\, c_2d_1 \,)\,\mathbf{i} \\
&+ (\,-\,a_1c_2 \,+\, a_2c_1 \,-\, b_1d_2 \,+\, b_2d_1 \,)\,\mathbf{j} \,+\, (\, a_1d_2 \,-\, a_2d_1 \,-\, b_1c_2 \,+\, b_2c_1 \,)\,\mathbf{k}\Big)
\end{aligned}
$$

If we use the old definition, then Spin(4) is generated by elements of the form $\cos t \,+\, \sin t\, e_ie_j$. Therefore the map

$$\mathrm{Spin}(4) \;\cong\; \mathrm{Sp}(1) \,\times\, \mathrm{Sp}(1)$$

is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\cos t \,+\, \sin t\, e_1e_2 \;&\mapsto\; (\,\cos t \,-\, \sin t\, \mathbf{i}\,) \,\oplus\, (\,\cos t \,+\, \sin t\, \mathbf{i}\,) \\
\cos t \,+\, \sin t\, e_3e_4 \;&\mapsto\; (\,\cos t \,-\, \sin t\, \mathbf{i}\,) \,\oplus\, (\,\cos t \,-\, \sin t\, \mathbf{i}\,) \\
\cos t \,+\, \sin t\, e_1e_3 \;&\mapsto\; (\,\cos t \,-\, \sin t\, \mathbf{j}\,) \,\oplus\, (\,\cos t \,-\, \sin t\, \mathbf{j}\,) \\
\cos t \,+\, \sin t\, e_2e_4 \;&\mapsto\; (\,\cos t \,+\, \sin t\, \mathbf{j}\,) \,\oplus\, (\,\cos t \,-\, \sin t\, \mathbf{j}\,) \\
\cos t \,+\, \sin t\, e_1e_4 \;&\mapsto\; (\,\cos t \,+\, \sin t\, \mathbf{k}\,) \,\oplus\, (\,\cos t \,+\, \sin t\, \mathbf{k}\,) \\
\cos t \,+\, \sin t\, e_2e_3 \;&\mapsto\; (\,\cos t \,+\, \sin t\, \mathbf{k}\,) \,\oplus\, (\,\cos t \,-\, \sin t\, \mathbf{k}\,)
\end{aligned}
$$

By applying **Lemma 6**, we have

$$\mathrm{Spin}(4) \;\cong\; \mathrm{SU}(2) \,\times\, \mathrm{SU}(2)$$

given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\cos t \,+\, \sin t\, e_1e_2 \;&\mapsto\; \begin{pmatrix} \cos t - \sin t\, \mathbf{i} & 0 \\ 0 & \cos t + \sin t\, \mathbf{i} \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} \cos t + \sin t\, \mathbf{i} & 0 \\ 0 & \cos t - \sin t\, \mathbf{i} \end{pmatrix} \\
\cos t \,+\, \sin t\, e_3e_4 \;&\mapsto\; \begin{pmatrix} \cos t - \sin t\, \mathbf{i} & 0 \\ 0 & \cos t + \sin t\, \mathbf{i} \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} \cos t - \sin t\, \mathbf{i} & 0 \\ 0 & \cos t + \sin t\, \mathbf{i} \end{pmatrix} \\
\cos t \,+\, \sin t\, e_1e_3 \;&\mapsto\; \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & -\sin t \\ \sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & -\sin t \\ \sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix} \\
\cos t \,+\, \sin t\, e_2e_4 \;&\mapsto\; \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & \sin t \\ -\sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & -\sin t \\ \sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix} \\
\cos t \,+\, \sin t\, e_1e_4 \;&\mapsto\; \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & \sin t\, \mathbf{i} \\ \sin t\, \mathbf{i} & \cos t \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & \sin t\, \mathbf{i} \\ \sin t\, \mathbf{i} & \cos t \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\cos t\,+\,\sin t\,e_2e_3\;\mapsto\qquad\begin{pmatrix}\cos t & \sin t\,\mathbf{i}\\ \sin t\,\mathbf{i} & \cos t\end{pmatrix}\oplus\begin{pmatrix}\cos t & -\sin t\,\mathbf{i}\\ -\sin t\,\mathbf{i} & \cos t\end{pmatrix}$$

$\square$

3. **Spinors**

We now proceed to define spinors and the spinor representation of Clifford algebras.

3.1. **Basic Properties.**

Definition 21. Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1,\dots,\dim V}$ be an **oriented**, orthonormal basis of V, that means there is a preferred ordering of the basis elements modulo even permutations. The **chirality operator** [2] is

$$\Gamma = \mathbf{i}^p e_1 \dots e_{\dim V} \quad \in C(V) \otimes \mathbb{C}$$

where

$$p = [\frac{\dim V + 1}{2}] = \begin{cases} \frac{\dim V}{2} & \text{if } \dim V \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{\dim V + 1}{2} & \text{if } \dim V \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 11. *The Chirality operator satisfies*

$$\Gamma^2 = 1_{C(V)}$$

and it **super-anticommutes** *with elements $v \in V$, ie.*

$$\Gamma v + (-1)^{\dim V} v \Gamma = 0$$

Proof. By direct computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma^2 &= \Gamma = \mathbf{i}^p e_1 \dots e_{\dim V} \, \mathbf{i}^p e_1 \dots e_{\dim V} \\
&= (-1)^p e_1 \dots e_{\dim V} \, e_1 \dots e_{\dim V} \\
&= (-1)^p (-1)^{\dim V - 1} e_1 e_1 \, e_2 \dots e_{\dim V} \, e_2 \dots e_{\dim V} \\
&= (-1)^p (-1)^{\dim V - 1} (-1)^{\dim V - 2} e_1 e_1 \, e_2 e_2 \, e_3 \dots e_{\dim V} \, e_3 \dots e_{\dim V} \\
&= \dots \\
&= (-1)^p (-1)^{\dim V - 1} (-1)^{\dim V - 2}, \dots, (-1)^1 e_1 e_1 \, e_2 e_2 \, \dots \, e_{\dim V} e_{\dim V} \\
&= (-1)^p (-1)^{\dim V - 1} (-1)^{\dim V - 2}, \dots, (-1)^1 (-1)^{\dim V} 1_{C(V)} \\
&= (-1)^{(p + \frac{\dim V \cdot (\dim V - 1)}{2} + \dim V)} 1_{C(V)} \\
&= 1_{C(V)}
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality may be checked separately for $\dim V \equiv 1, 2, 3$ or $0 \mod 4$.

Similarly, for any basis element $e_i \in V$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma e_i &= \mathbf{i}^p e_1 \dots e_{\dim V} e_i \\
&= (-1)^{(\dim V - i)} \mathbf{i}^p e_1 \dots e_i e_i \dots e_{\dim V}
\end{aligned}$$

---

[2] Also known as the **volume element** or the **complex unit**. Physicists also denote it as $\gamma^{\mathbf{5}}$ for the four dimensional case.

$$\begin{aligned} &= (-1)^{(\dim V - i)}\, (-1)^{(i-1)}\, \mathbf{i}^p\, e_i\, e_1 \dots e_i \dots e_{\dim V} \\ &= (-1)^{(\dim V - 1)}\, e_i\, \Gamma \end{aligned}$$

Hence the equation is true for any $v \in V$. □

Corollary 6. *For* $\dim V$ *odd,* Γ *is in the center of* $C(V) \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

Corollary 7. *For* $\dim V$ *even, every complex Clifford module* E *has a* $\mathbb{Z}_{\not\models}$*-grading defined by the* ± 1 *eigen-spaces of the chirality operator:*

$$E^{\pm} = \{ v \in E \,|\, \Gamma v = \pm v \}$$

Especially, for $\dim V \equiv 0 \mod 4, \Gamma \in C(V)$*, so in this case, the real Clifford modules are also* $\mathbb{Z}_{\not\models}$*-graded.*

Definition 22. A **polarization** of a complex vector space $V \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is a subspace $P \subset V \otimes \mathbb{C}$ which is **isotropic**, ie.

$$Q(\, v \,,\, v \,) = 0 \qquad \forall v \in P,$$

and we have a spliting

$$V \otimes \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{P} \oplus \overline{\mathbb{P}}.$$

Here the quadratic form Q extends from V to $V \otimes \mathbb{C}$ **complex linearly**, ie.

$$Q(\, a + \mathbf{i}b \,,\, c + \mathbf{i}d \,) = Q(\, a \,,\, c \,) + \mathbf{i}\big(Q(\, a \,,\, d \,) + Q(\, b \,,\, c \,)\big) - Q(\, b \,,\, d \,)$$

Definition 23. A polarization is called **oriented**, if there is an oriented orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}$ of V, such that P is spanned by the vectors

$$\{\, w_i = \frac{(\, e_{2i-1} - \mathbf{i}e_{2i} \,)}{\sqrt{2}} \,|\, 1 \le i \le \frac{\dim V}{2} \}$$

and therefore the complement $\overline{P}$ is spanned by the vectors

$$\{\, \overline{w}_i = \frac{(\, e_{2i-1} + \mathbf{i}e_{2i} \,)}{\sqrt{2}} \,|\, 1 \le i \le \frac{\dim V}{2} \}$$

Lemma 12. *The basis* $\{w_i\}_{i=1,\dots,\frac{\dim V}{2}}$ *and the corresponding conjugate* $\{\overline{w}_i\}_{i=1,\dots,\frac{\dim V}{2}}$ *satisfy the folloowing equations: For* $1 \le i \le \frac{\dim V}{2}$,

$$\begin{aligned} w_i w_i &= \overline{w}_i \overline{w}_i = 0 \\ w_i \overline{w_i} + \overline{w_i} w_i &= -2 \end{aligned}$$

For $1 \le i \ne j \le \frac{\dim V}{2}$,

$$\begin{aligned} w_i w_j &= -\, w_j w_i \\ w_i \overline{w}_j &= -\, \overline{w}_j w_i \\ \overline{w}_i \overline{w}_j &= -\, \overline{w}_j \overline{w}_i \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For $1 \leq i \leq \frac{\dim V}{2}$,

$$\begin{aligned} w_i w_i &= \frac{(\, e_{2i-1} - \mathbf{i} e_{2i}\,)}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{(\, e_{2i-1} - \mathbf{i} e_{2i}\,)}{\sqrt{2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\,(\, e_{2i-1}e_{2i-1} - e_{2i}e_{2i} - \mathbf{i}\, e_{2i}e_{2i-1} - \mathbf{i}\, e_{2i-1}e_{2i}\,) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\,(\,(-1) - (-1) + \mathbf{i}\, e_{2i-1}e_{2i} - \mathbf{i}\, e_{2i-1}e_{2i}\,) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\overline{w}_i\, \overline{w}_i \;=\; (\overline{w_i w_i}) \;=\; 0$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} w_i\overline{w}_i + \overline{w}_i w_i &= \frac{e_{2i-1} - \mathbf{i}\, e_{2i}}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{e_{2i-1} + \mathbf{i}\, e_{2i}}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{e_{2i-1} + \mathbf{i}\, e_{2i}}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{e_{2i-1} - \mathbf{i}\, e_{2i}}{\sqrt{2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\big(2\, e_{2i-1}e_{2i-1} + 2\, e_{2i}e_{2i}bigr) \\ &= -\,2 \end{aligned}$$

For $1 \leq i \neq j \leq \frac{\dim V}{2}$,

$$\begin{aligned} w_i w_j &= \frac{(\, e_{2i-1} - \mathbf{i} e_{2i}\,)}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{(\, e_{2j-1} - \mathbf{i} e_{2j}\,)}{\sqrt{2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\,(\, e_{2i-1}e_{2j-1} - e_{2i}e_{2j} - \mathbf{i}\, e_{2i}e_{2j-1} - \mathbf{i}\, e_{2i-1}e_{2j}\,) \\ &= -\,\frac{1}{2}\,(\, e_{2j-1}e_{2i-1} - e_{2j}e_{2i} - \mathbf{i}\, e_{2j}e_{2i-1} - \mathbf{i}\, e_{2j-1}e_{2i}\,) \\ &= -\,\frac{(\, e_{2j-1} - \mathbf{i} e_{2j}\,)}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{(\, e_{2i-1} - \mathbf{i} e_{2i}\,)}{\sqrt{2}} \\ &= -\, w_j w_i \end{aligned}$$

Similarly for the other equalities. □

Theorem 11. *If V is an* **even**-*dimensional oriented Euclidean vector space, then there is a unique $\mathbb{Z}_{\nvDash}$-graded Clifford module*

$$S \;=\; S^{+} \oplus S^{-}$$

called the **spinor module**, *such that*

$$C(V) \otimes \mathbb{C} \;\cong\; \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{S})$$

Elements in S^{+} and S^{-} are called **positive** *and* **negative spinors** *respectively.*

In particular, we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(S) \;=\; 2^{(\frac{\dim V}{2})}$$

and

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(S^{+}) \;=\; \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(S_{-}) \;=\; 2^{(\frac{\dim V}{2}-1)}$$

Proof. Given a polarization P of $V \otimes \mathbb{C}$, we may define the spinor module S to be equal to the exterior algebra ΛP. Any element $v \in V \otimes \mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{V}) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ splits into two parts

$$v \;=\; v_1 \,\oplus\, v_2 \qquad \in P \,\oplus\, \overline{P}$$

The component in P acts on ΛP by exterior product:

$$c(\,v_1\,)\,w \;=\; \sqrt{2}\,\epsilon(\,v_1\,)\,w \;=\; \sqrt{2}\,v_1 \,\wedge\, w, \qquad \forall w \in \Lambda P$$

On the other hand the quadratic form Q induce a duality between P and $\overline{P}$, ie.

$$w_i^* \;=\; Q(\,\overline{w}_i\,,\,\cdot\,)$$

So, the component in $\overline{P} \cong P^*$ acts on ΛP by contraction:

$$c(\,v_2\,)\,w \;=\; -\sqrt{2}\,\iota(\,v_2\,)\,w \qquad \forall w \in \Lambda P$$

Therefore the action of any $v = v_1 \oplus v_2 \in V \otimes \mathbb{C}$ on ΛP is

$$c(\,v_1 \oplus v_2\,)\,w \;=\; \sqrt{2}\,\epsilon(\,v_1\,)\,w \;-\; \sqrt{2}\,\iota(\,v_2\,)\,w \qquad \forall w \in \Lambda P$$

Observe that the map $C(V) \otimes \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{S})$ is injective and

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\,C(V) \otimes \mathbb{C}\,) \;=\; \nvDash^{\dim \mathbb{V}} \;=\; \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\,\mathbb{S}\,)^{\nvDash} \;=\; \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\,\mathrm{End}\,\mathbb{S}\,)$$

therefore

$$C(V) \otimes \mathbb{C} \;\cong\; \mathrm{End}(\,\mathbb{S}\,)$$

The uniqueness of the spinor representation is a consequence of the fact that the algebra $\mathrm{End}(S)$ is simple , and it has unique irreducible module.

Now, assume the polarization P is oriented, then for

$$w_i \;=\; \frac{e_{2i-1} \;-\; \mathbf{i}\,e_{2i}}{\sqrt{2}}$$

we have

$$e_{2i-1} \;=\; \frac{(\,w_i \,+\, \overline{w}_i\,)}{\sqrt{2}} \qquad e_{2i} \;=\; \frac{(\,-w_i \,+\, \overline{w}_i\,)}{\sqrt{2}\,\mathbf{i}}$$

therefore from the above Lemma, we get

$$e_{2i-1}e_{2i} \;=\; \frac{(\,w_i\overline{w}_i \;-\; \overline{w}_i w_i\,)}{2\mathbf{i}}$$

and hence

$$\Gamma \;=\; \frac{(\,w_1\overline{w}_1 \,-\, \overline{w}_1 w_1\,)\,(\,w_2\overline{w}_2 \,-\, \overline{w}_2 w_2\,)\ldots(\,w_{\frac{\dim V}{2}}\overline{w}_{\frac{\dim V}{2}} \,-\, \overline{w}_{\frac{\dim V}{2}}w_{\frac{\dim V}{2}}\,)}{\sqrt{2}^{\dim V}}$$

Therefore, Γ acts on ΛP by

$$(-1)^{\frac{\dim V}{2}}\,(\,\epsilon(w_1)\iota(\overline{w}_1) \,-\, \iota(\overline{w}_1)\epsilon(w_1)\,)\,\ldots\,(\,\epsilon(w_1)\iota(\overline{w}_1) \,-\, \iota(\overline{w}_1)\epsilon(w_1)\,)$$

Now

$$(\,\epsilon(w_i)\iota(\overline{w}_i)\,-\,\iota(\overline{w}_i)\epsilon(w_i)\,)\,1_{_{\Lambda P}}\,=\,-1_{_{\Lambda P}}$$

So Γ acts on $\Lambda^0 P$ by $1_{_{C(V)}}$.

On the other hand, for $\Lambda^1 P$,

$$\begin{aligned}(\,\epsilon(w_i)\iota(\overline{w}_i)\,-\,\iota(\overline{w}_i)\epsilon(w_i)\,)\,w_j\,&=(-1)^{1+\delta_{ij}}\,w_j\\&=\begin{cases}w_j & \text{if } i=j\\-w_j & \text{if } i\neq j\end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

So Γ acts on $\Lambda^1 P$ by

$$(-1)^{\frac{\dim V}{2}}\,(-1)^{\frac{\dim V}{2}-1}\,1_{_{C(V)}}\,=\,-1_{_{C(V)}}.$$

On $\Lambda^2 P$, we have, for any $1\le j<k\le\frac{\dim V}{2}$,

$$\begin{aligned}(\,\epsilon(w_i)\iota(\overline{w}_i)\,-\,\iota(\overline{w}_i)\epsilon(w_i)\,)\,w_j\wedge w_k\,&=(-1)^{1+\delta_{ij}+\delta_{ik}}\,w_j\wedge w_k\\&=\begin{cases}w_j\wedge w_k & \text{if } i=j \text{ or } i=k\\-w_j\wedge w_k & \text{if } j\neq i\neq k\end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

So Γ acts on $\Lambda^2 P$ by

$$(-1)^{\frac{\dim V}{2}}\,(-1)^{\frac{\dim V}{2}-2}\,1_{_{C(V)}}\,=\,1_{_{C(V)}}.$$

In general, on $\Lambda^k P$, we have, for any $1\le j_1<j_2<\cdots<j_k\le\frac{\dim V}{2}$,

$$\begin{aligned}(\,\epsilon(w_i)\iota(\overline{w}_i)\,-\,\iota(\overline{w}_i)\epsilon(w_i)\,)\,w_{j_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge w_{j_k}\,&=(-1)^{1+\delta_{ij_1}+\cdots+\delta_{ij_k}}\,w_{j_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge w_{j_k}\\&=\begin{cases}w_{j_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge w_{j_k} & \text{if } i=j_r \text{ for some } j_r\\-w_{j_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge w_{j_k} & \text{if } i\neq j_r \text{ for any } j_r\end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

So Γ acts on $\Lambda^k P$ by

$$(-1)^{\frac{\dim V}{2}}\,(-1)^{\frac{\dim V}{2}-k}\,1_{_{C(V)}}\,=\,(-1)^k\,1_{_{C(V)}}.$$

Therefore we have the splitting

$$S^{\pm}\,=\,\Lambda^{\pm}P$$

$\square$

3.2. **Four Dimensional Case.** Now we look at the spinors in four dimensional vector space.

Consider an oriented orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ of the Euclidean vector space $\mathbb{R}^{\ngeq}$. Then the standard oriented polarization P of $\mathbb{C}^{\ngeq} \cong \mathbb{R}^{\ngeq} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is generated by

$$\{\, w_1 \,=\, \frac{e_1 \,-\, \mathbf{i}\, e_2}{\sqrt{2}}, \qquad w_2 \,=\, \frac{e_3 \,-\, \mathbf{i}\, e_4}{\sqrt{2}} \,\}$$

and we have

$$S^+ \;=\; \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\langle\, 1_{\Lambda P}\,,\, w_1 \wedge w_2 \,\rangle$$

$$S^- \;=\; \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\langle\, w_1\,,\, w_2 \,\rangle$$

Together, we have the following **standard basis** of $S = S^+ \oplus S^-$:

$$\{\, 1_{\Lambda P}\,,\, w_1 \wedge w_2\,,\, w_1\,,\, w_2 \,\}$$

Under this basis, any spinor $s \in S$ may be written as a column vector with components

$$s \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} s_1 \\ s_2 \\ s_3 \\ s_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

and we have a spliting

$$s \;=\; s^+ \,\oplus\, s^- \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} s_1^- \\ \\ s_2^- \end{pmatrix} \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} s_1 \\ \\ s_2 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} s_3 \\ \\ s_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since $S \;\cong\; \mathbb{C}^{\ngeq}$, we have a representation

$$C(V) \,\otimes\, \mathbb{C} \;\hookrightarrow\; \operatorname{End}(\mathbb{C}^{\ngeq})$$

To find out the exact correspondencs, let's consider the Clifford action of w_i and $\overline{w}_i$ on S:

$$c(\,w_1\,) \;:\; \begin{cases} 1_{\Lambda P} \\ w_1 \wedge w_2 \\ w_1 \\ w_2 \end{cases} \;\mapsto\; \begin{cases} \sqrt{2}\, w_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \sqrt{2}\, w_1 \wedge w_2 \end{cases}$$

$$c(\,w_2\,) \;:\; \begin{cases} 1_{\Lambda P} \\ w_1 \wedge w_2 \\ w_1 \\ w_2 \end{cases} \;\mapsto\; \begin{cases} \sqrt{2}\, w_2 \\ 0 \\ -\,\sqrt{2}\, w_1 \wedge w_2 \\ 0 \end{cases}$$

$$c(\,\overline{w}_1\,)\;:\;\begin{cases}1_{_{\Lambda P}}\\ w_1\wedge w_2\\ w_1\\ w_2\end{cases}\quad\mapsto\quad\begin{cases}0\\ -\sqrt{2}\,w_2\\ -\sqrt{2}\,1_{_{\Lambda P}}\\ 0\end{cases}$$

$$c(\,\overline{w}_2\,)\;:\;\begin{cases}1_{_{\Lambda P}}\\ w_1\wedge w_2\\ w_1\\ w_2\end{cases}\quad\mapsto\quad\begin{cases}0\\ \sqrt{2}\,w_1\\ 0\\ -\sqrt{2}\,1_{_{\Lambda P}}\end{cases}$$

Therefore we have the following correspondence

$$w_1\;=\;\sqrt{2}\,\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0&0\\0&0&0&1\\1&0&0&0\\0&0&0&0\end{pmatrix}$$

$$w_2\;=\;\sqrt{2}\,\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0&0\\0&0&-1&0\\0&0&0&0\\1&0&0&0\end{pmatrix}$$

$$\overline{w}_1\;=\;\sqrt{2}\,\begin{pmatrix}0&0&-1&0\\0&0&0&0\\0&0&0&0\\0&-1&0&0\end{pmatrix}$$

$$\overline{w}_2\;=\;\sqrt{2}\,\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0&-1\\0&0&0&0\\0&1&0&0\\0&0&0&0\end{pmatrix}$$

Now by the relation

$$e_{2i-1}\;=\;\frac{(\,w_i\,+\,\overline{w}_i\,)}{\sqrt{2}}\qquad e_{2i}\;=\;\frac{(\,-w_i\,+\,\overline{w}_i\,)}{\sqrt{2}\,\mathbf{i}}$$

we can deduce the corresponding matrices :

$$e_1\;=\;\begin{pmatrix}0&0&-1&0\\0&0&0&1\\1&0&0&0\\0&-1&0&0\end{pmatrix}$$

$$e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \mathbf{i} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{i} \\ \mathbf{i} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{i} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$e_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$e_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{i} \\ 0 & 0 & -\mathbf{i} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbf{i} & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{i} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

As a result, we have the following

Theorem 12. *There are isomorphisms*

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\, S^+ \,,\, S^- \,) \;\cong\; \Lambda^1_{\mathbb{C}}$$

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\, S^- \,,\, S^+ \,) \;\cong\; \Lambda^1_{\mathbb{C}}$$

Proof. With respect to the standard basis

$$\{\, 1_{\Lambda P} \,,\, w_1 \wedge w_2 \,\}$$

of S^+ and the standard basis

$$\{\, w_1 \,,\, w_2 \,\}$$

of S^- , we have

$$e_1\big|_{\mathrm{Hom}(S^+,S^-)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$e_2\big|_{\mathrm{Hom}(S^+,S^-)} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{i} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{i} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$e_3\big|_{\mathrm{Hom}(S^+,S^-)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$e_4\big|_{\mathrm{Hom}(S^+,S^-)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mathbf{i} \\ \mathbf{i} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and any element in $\mathrm{Hom}(\, S^+ \,,\, S^- \,)$ is a matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$$

which may be splited into

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \frac{(A-D)}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} - \mathbf{i}\,\frac{(A+D)}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{i} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{i} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\ \frac{(B+C)}{2}\begin{pmatrix}0 & 1\\ 1 & 0\end{pmatrix}+\mathbf{i}\,\frac{(B-C)}{2}\begin{pmatrix}0 & -\mathbf{i}\\ \mathbf{i} & 0\end{pmatrix}\\
=&\frac{(A-D)}{2}\,e_1\big|_{\mathrm{Hom}(S^+,S^-)}-\mathbf{i}\,\frac{(A+D)}{2}\,e_2\big|_{\mathrm{Hom}(S^+,S^-)}\\
&+\ \frac{(B+C)}{2}\,e_3\big|_{\mathrm{Hom}(S^+,S^-)}+\mathbf{i}\,\frac{(B-C)}{2}\,e_4\big|_{\mathrm{Hom}(S^+,S^-)}
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, with respect to the above standard basis, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_1\big|_{\mathrm{Hom}(S^-,S^+)} &= \begin{pmatrix}-1 & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\\
e_2\big|_{\mathrm{Hom}(S^-,S^+)} &= \begin{pmatrix}\mathbf{i} & 0\\ 0 & \mathbf{i}\end{pmatrix}\\
e_3\big|_{\mathrm{Hom}(S^-,S^+)} &= \begin{pmatrix}0 & -1\\ -1 & 0\end{pmatrix}\\
e_4\big|_{\mathrm{Hom}(S^-,S^+)} &= \begin{pmatrix}0 & \mathbf{i}\\ -\mathbf{i} & 0\end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}
$$

and any element in Hom(S^- , S^+) is a matrix

$$
\begin{pmatrix}A & B\\ C & D\end{pmatrix}
$$

which may be splited into

$$
\begin{aligned}
\begin{pmatrix}A & B\\ C & D\end{pmatrix} =&\frac{(D-A)}{2}\begin{pmatrix}-1 & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}-\mathbf{i}\,\frac{(A+D)}{2}\begin{pmatrix}\mathbf{i} & 0\\ 0 & \mathbf{i}\end{pmatrix}\\
&-\ \frac{(B+C)}{2}\begin{pmatrix}0 & -1\\ -1 & 0\end{pmatrix}+\mathbf{i}\,\frac{(C-B)}{2}\begin{pmatrix}0 & \mathbf{i}\\ -\mathbf{i} & 0\end{pmatrix}\\
=&\frac{(D-A)}{2}\,e_1\big|_{\mathrm{Hom}(S^-,S^+)}-\mathbf{i}\,\frac{(A+D)}{2}\,e_2\big|_{\mathrm{Hom}(S^-,S^+)}\\
&-\ \frac{(B+C)}{2}\,e_3\big|_{\mathrm{Hom}(S^-,S^+)}+\mathbf{i}\,\frac{(C-B)}{2}\,e_4\big|_{\mathrm{Hom}(S^-,S^+)}
\end{aligned}
$$

□

The elements in $C^2(V)$ corresponds to the matrices

$$
e_1e_2 = \begin{pmatrix}-\mathbf{i} & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \mathbf{i} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{i} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\mathbf{i}\end{pmatrix}\qquad e_3e_4 = \begin{pmatrix}-\mathbf{i} & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \mathbf{i} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -\mathbf{i} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{i}\end{pmatrix}
$$

$$e_1e_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad e_4e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$e_1e_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{i} & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{i} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{i} \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{i} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad e_2e_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{i} & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{i} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\mathbf{i} \\ 0 & 0 & -\mathbf{i} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Theorem 13. *There is a representation*

$$\mathrm{Spin}(4) \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C}^{\ntrianglerighteq})$$

which splits into

$$\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$$

Proof. From the above, we see that the action of elements in Spin(4) is given by

$$\cos t + \sin t\, e_1e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \cos t - \mathbf{i}\sin t & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos t + \mathbf{i}\sin t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cos t + \mathbf{i}\sin t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cos t - \mathbf{i}\sin t \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\cos t + \sin t\, e_3e_4 = \begin{pmatrix} \cos t - \mathbf{i}\sin t & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos t + \mathbf{i}\sin t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cos t - \mathbf{i}\sin t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cos t + \mathbf{i}\sin t \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\cos t + \sin t\, e_1e_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & -\sin t & 0 & 0 \\ \sin t & \cos t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cos t & -\sin t \\ 0 & 0 & \sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\cos t + \sin t\, e_4e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & -\sin t & 0 & 0 \\ \sin t & \cos t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cos t & \sin t \\ 0 & 0 & -\sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\cos t + \sin t\, e_1e_4 = \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & \mathbf{i}\sin t & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{i}\sin t & \cos t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cos t & \mathbf{i}\sin t \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{i}\sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\cos t + \sin t\, e_2e_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & \mathbf{i}\sin t & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{i}\sin t & \cos t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cos t & -\mathbf{i}\sin t \\ 0 & 0 & -\mathbf{i}\sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore the image of this representation splits into SU(2) × SU(2). In fact, this map is compatible with the one we have in **Theorem 10**. ☐

3.3. **(Anti) Self Duality.** There is an operator on the exterior algebra which is similar to the chirality operator.

Definition 24. Let the **Hodge star operator**

$$\star : \Lambda V \longrightarrow \Lambda V$$

with respect to Q, be given by the following relation :

$$e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_k} \wedge \star(e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_k}) = \epsilon_{i_1 \dots i_k} e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{\dim V}$$

where $\{e_i\}_{i=1,\dots,\dim V}$ is orthonormal with respect to Q and

$$\epsilon_{i_1 \dots i_k} = sgn \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \multicolumn{3}{c}{\dots\dots\dots\dots} & \dim V \\ i_1 & \dots & i_k & i_{k+1} & \dots & i_{\dim V} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$(i_{k+1}, \dots, i_{\dim V}) = (1, 2, \dots, \widehat{i_1}, \dots, \widehat{i_k}, \dots, \dim V)$$

Now we consider the case when $\dim V = 4$.

Lemma 13. *For a four dimensional vector space V, the restriction of the square of the star operator satisfies:*

$$\star^2\big|_{C^{\pm}(V)} = \pm 1_{\mathrm{End}(C^{\pm}(V))}$$

Proof. The star operator interchanges the following pair of elements:

$$\begin{aligned} 1_{\Lambda V} &\leftrightarrow e_1 e_2 e_3 e_4 \\ e_1 e_2 &\leftrightarrow e_3 e_4 \\ e_1 e_3 &\leftrightarrow e_4 e_2 \\ e_1 e_4 &\leftrightarrow e_2 e_3 \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$\star^2\big|_{C^{+}(V)} = 1_{\mathrm{End}(C^{+}(V))}$$

On the other hand, on $C^{-}(V)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 &\overset{\star}{\mapsto} e_2 e_3 e_4 \overset{\star}{\mapsto} -e_1 \overset{\star}{\mapsto} -e_2 e_3 e_4 \\ e_2 &\overset{\star}{\mapsto} -e_1 e_3 e_4 \overset{\star}{\mapsto} -e_2 \overset{\star}{\mapsto} e_1 e_3 e_4 \\ e_3 &\overset{\star}{\mapsto} e_1 e_2 e_4 \overset{\star}{\mapsto} -e_3 \overset{\star}{\mapsto} -e_1 e_2 e_4 \\ e_4 &\overset{\star}{\mapsto} -e_1 e_2 e_3 \overset{\star}{\mapsto} -e_4 \overset{\star}{\mapsto} e_1 e_2 e_3 \\ \star^2\big|_{C^{-}(V)} &= -1_{\mathrm{End}(C^{-}(V))} \end{aligned}$$

☐

Corollary 8. *For a four dimensional vector space V, $\Lambda^2 V$ splits into ± 1 eigenspaces of $\star$:*

$$\Lambda^2_+ V \;=\; \{\, \frac{(1+\star)}{2}\, w \,|\, w \in \Lambda V \,\}$$

and

$$\Lambda^2_- V \;=\; \{\, \frac{(1-\star)}{2}\, w \,|\, w \in \Lambda V \,\}$$

which are called the space of **self-dual (SD)** *or* **anti-self-dual (ASD)** *two-forms and are simplified as Λ_+ and Λ_- respectively.*

Definition 25. The standard basis for Λ_+ and Λ_- are the **self-dual basis** :

$$\{\, \mathbf{w}^+_1 \;=\; e_1 \wedge e_2 + e_3 \wedge e_4\,, \quad \mathbf{w}^+_2 \;=\; e_1 \wedge e_3 + e_4 \wedge e_2\,, \quad \mathbf{w}^+_3 \;=\; e_1 \wedge e_4 + e_2 \wedge e_3 \,\}$$

and the **anti-self-dual basis** :

$$\{\, \mathbf{w}^-_1 \;=\; e_1 \wedge e_2 - e_3 \wedge e_4\,, \quad \mathbf{w}^-_2 \;=\; e_1 \wedge e_3 - e_4 \wedge e_2\,, \quad \mathbf{w}^-_3 \;=\; e_1 \wedge e_4 - e_2 \wedge e_3 \,\}$$

respectively.

Remark . The corresponding elements $\mathbf{q}(\,\mathbf{w}^\pm_i\,)$ in $C(V)$ are also denoted by the same symbols $\mathbf{w}^\pm_i$. The push-forward of the star-operators

$$\mathbf{q}_*(\star) : C^2(V) \longrightarrow C^2(V)$$

induce the similar spliting

$$C^2(V) \;=\; C^2_+(V) \,\oplus\, C^2_-(V)$$

and the standard basis for $C^2_+(V)$ and $C^2_-(V)$ are

$$\{\, \mathbf{w}^+_1 \;=\; e_1e_2 + e_3e_4\,, \quad \mathbf{w}^+_2 \;=\; e_1e_3 + e_4e_2\,, \quad \mathbf{w}^+_3 \;=\; e_1e_4 + e_2e_3 \,\}$$

and

$$\{\, \mathbf{w}^-_1 \;=\; e_1e_2 - e_3e_4\,, \quad \mathbf{w}^-_2 \;=\; e_1e_3 - e_4e_2\,, \quad \mathbf{w}^-_3 \;=\; e_1e_4 - e_2e_3 \,\}$$

respectively.

Remark . The corresponding elements $\mathbf{q}(\,\mathbf{w}^\pm_i\,)$ in $C(V)\otimes\mathbb{C}$ are also denoted by the same symbols $\mathbf{w}^\pm_i$. We have the spliting

$$C^2(V)\otimes\mathbb{C} \;=\; \mathbb{C}^{\nvDash}_{+}(\mathbb{V})\otimes\mathbb{C} \,\oplus\, \mathbb{C}^{\nvDash}_{-}(\mathbb{V})\otimes\mathbb{C}$$

where the standard basis for $C^2_+(V)\otimes\mathbb{C}$ and $C^2_-(V)\otimes\mathbb{C}$ are also

$$\{\, \mathbf{w}^+_1 \;=\; e_1e_2 + e_3e_4\,, \quad \mathbf{w}^+_2 \;=\; e_1e_3 + e_4e_2\,, \quad \mathbf{w}^+_3 \;=\; e_1e_4 + e_2e_3 \,\}$$

and

$$\{\, \mathbf{w}^-_1 \;=\; e_1e_2 - e_3e_4\,, \quad \mathbf{w}^-_2 \;=\; e_1e_3 - e_4e_2\,, \quad \mathbf{w}^-_3 \;=\; e_1e_4 - e_2e_3 \,\}$$

respectively.

Corollary 9. *Written in terms of the basis* w_i *and* $\overline{w}_i$*, the elements* $\mathbf{q}(\,\mathbf{w}_i^{\pm}\,)$ *in* $C(V)\otimes\mathbb{C}$ *are given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{w}_1^{+} &= \mathbf{i}\,(\,2 + \overline{w}_1 w_1 + \overline{w}_2 w_2\,)\\
\mathbf{w}_2^{+} &= w_1 w_2 + \overline{w}_1\overline{w}_2\\
\mathbf{w}_3^{+} &= \mathbf{i}\,(\,w_1 w_2 - \overline{w}_1\overline{w}_2\,)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{w}_1^{-} &= \mathbf{i}\,(\,\overline{w}_1 w_1 - \overline{w}_2 w_2\,)\\
\mathbf{w}_2^{-} &= \overline{w}_1 w_2 + w_1\overline{w}_2\\
\mathbf{w}_3^{-} &= \mathbf{i}\,(\,\overline{w}_1 w_2 - w_1\overline{w}_2\,)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. By the relation

$$e_1 = \frac{(\,w_1 + \overline{w}_1\,)}{\sqrt{2}} \qquad e_2 = \frac{(\,-w_1 + \overline{w}_1\,)}{\sqrt{2}\,\mathbf{i}}$$

$$e_3 = \frac{(\,w_2 + \overline{w}_2\,)}{\sqrt{2}} \qquad e_4 = \frac{(\,-w_2 + \overline{w}_2\,)}{\sqrt{2}\,\mathbf{i}}$$

and **Lemma 12**, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
e_1 e_2 &= \frac{1}{2\mathbf{i}}\,(\,w_1 + \overline{w}_1\,)\,(\,-w_1 + \overline{w}_1\,)\\
&= \frac{1}{2\mathbf{i}}\,(\,-{w_1}^2 + {\overline{w}_1}^2 - \overline{w}_1 w_1 + w_1\overline{w}_1\,)\\
&= \frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}\,(\,\overline{w}_1 w_1 - w_1\overline{w}_1\,)\\
&= \frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}\,(\,2\,\overline{w}_1 w_1 + 2\,)\\
&= \mathbf{i}\,(\,\overline{w}_1 w_1 + 1\,)
\end{aligned}$$

and similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
e_3 e_4 &= \frac{1}{2\mathbf{i}}\,(\,w_2 + \overline{w}_2\,)\,(\,-w_2 + \overline{w}_2\,)\\
&= \mathbf{i}\,(\,\overline{w}_2 w_2 + 1\,)
\end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{w}_1^{+} &= \mathbf{i}\,(\,2 + \overline{w}_1 w_1 + \overline{w}_2 w_2\,)\\
\mathbf{w}_1^{-} &= \mathbf{i}\,(\,\overline{w}_1 w_1 - \overline{w}_2 w_2\,)
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
e_1 e_3 &= \frac{1}{2}\,(\,w_1 + \overline{w}_1\,)\,(\,w_2 + \overline{w}_2\,)\\
&= \frac{1}{2}\,(\,w_1 w_2 + \overline{w}_1\overline{w}_2 + \overline{w}_1 w_2 + w_1\overline{w}_2\,)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} e_4 e_2 &= -\frac{1}{2}\,(\,-w_2 + \overline{w}_2\,)\,(\,-w_1 + \overline{w}_1\,) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\,(\,w_2 w_1 + \overline{w}_2\overline{w}_1 - w_2\overline{w}_1 - \overline{w}_2 w_1\,) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\,(\,w_1 w_2 + \overline{w}_1\overline{w}_2 - \overline{w}_1 w_2 - w_1\overline{w}_2\,) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 e_4 &= \frac{1}{2\mathbf{i}}\,(\,w_1 + \overline{w}_1\,)\,(\,-w_2 + \overline{w}_2\,) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\mathbf{i}}\,(\,-w_1 w_2 + \overline{w}_1\overline{w}_2 - \overline{w}_1 w_2 + w_1\overline{w}_2\,) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} e_2 e_3 &= \frac{1}{2\mathbf{i}}\,(\,-w_1 + \overline{w}_1\,)\,(\,w_2 + \overline{w}_2\,) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\mathbf{i}}\,(\,-w_1 w_2 + \overline{w}_1\overline{w}_2 + \overline{w}_1 w_2 - w_1\overline{w}_2\,) \end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{w}_2^+ &= w_1 w_2 + \overline{w}_1\overline{w}_2 \\ \mathbf{w}_3^+ &= \mathbf{i}\,(\,w_1 w_2 - \overline{w}_1\overline{w}_2\,) \\ \mathbf{w}_2^- &= \overline{w}_1 w_2 + w_1\overline{w}_2 \\ \mathbf{w}_3^- &= \mathbf{i}\,(\,\overline{w}_1 w_2 - w_1\overline{w}_2\,) \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark . Notice that in the above corollary, the notation $\mathbf{w}_i^{\pm}$ refers to elements of $C(V)\otimes\mathbb{C}$. If we consider $\mathbf{w}_i^{\pm}$ as elements of $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}V = \Lambda V \otimes \mathbb{C}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{w}_1^+ &= \mathbf{i}\,(\,\overline{w}_1\wedge w_1 + \overline{w}_2\wedge w_2\,) \\ \mathbf{w}_2^+ &= w_1\wedge w_2 + \overline{w}_1\wedge\overline{w}_2 \\ \mathbf{w}_3^+ &= \mathbf{i}\,(\,w_1\wedge w_2 - \overline{w}_1\wedge\overline{w}_2\,) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{w}_1^- &= \mathbf{i}\,(\,\overline{w}_1\wedge w_1 - \overline{w}_2\wedge w_2\,) \\ \mathbf{w}_2^- &= \overline{w}_1\wedge w_2 + w_1\wedge\overline{w}_2 \\ \mathbf{w}_3^- &= \mathbf{i}\,(\,\overline{w}_1\wedge w_2 - w_1\wedge\overline{w}_2\,) \end{aligned}$$

The difference in the two interpretations of $\mathbf{w}_1^+$ arises from the fact that

$$w_i w_i = \overline{w}_i\overline{w}_i = -1_{C(V)}$$

in $C(V)\otimes\mathbb{C}$, but

$$w_i \wedge w_i \;=\; \overline{w}_i \wedge \overline{w}_i \;=\; 0$$

in $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}V$.

Remark . By using the self-dual and anti-self dual basis, we can express our previous results again in a “better” way:

The map $\underline{\tau} : \mathrm{Spin}(4) \longrightarrow \mathrm{SO}(4)$ has the following images :

$$\underline{\tau}(\,\exp(\,t\,\mathbf{w}_1^+\,)\,) \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2t & -\sin 2t & 0 & 0 \\ \sin 2t & \cos 2t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cos 2t & -\sin 2t \\ 0 & 0 & \sin 2t & \cos 2t \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\underline{\tau}(\,\exp(\,t\,\mathbf{w}_2^+\,)\,) \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2t & 0 & -\sin 2t & 0 \\ 0 & \cos 2t & 0 & \sin 2t \\ \sin 2t & 0 & \cos 2t & 0 \\ 0 & -\sin 2t & 0 & \cos 2t \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\underline{\tau}(\,\exp(\,t\,\mathbf{w}_3^+\,)\,) \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2t & 0 & 0 & -\sin 2t \\ 0 & \cos 2t & -\sin 2t & 0 \\ 0 & \sin 2t & \cos 2t & 0 \\ \sin 2t & 0 & 0 & \cos 2t \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\underline{\tau}(\,\exp(\,t\,\mathbf{w}_1^-\,)\,) \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2t & -\sin 2t & 0 & 0 \\ \sin 2t & \cos 2t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cos 2t & \sin 2t \\ 0 & 0 & -\sin 2t & \cos 2t \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\underline{\tau}(\,\exp(\,t\,\mathbf{w}_2^-\,)\,) \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2t & 0 & -\sin 2t & 0 \\ 0 & \cos 2t & 0 & -\sin 2t \\ \sin 2t & 0 & \cos 2t & 0 \\ 0 & \sin 2t & 0 & \cos 2t \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\underline{\tau}(\,\exp(\,t\,\mathbf{w}_3^-\,)\,) \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2t & 0 & 0 & -\sin 2t \\ 0 & \cos 2t & \sin 2t & 0 \\ 0 & -\sin 2t & \cos 2t & 0 \\ \sin 2t & 0 & 0 & \cos 2t \end{pmatrix}$$

The map $C^+(\mathbb{R}^4) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}\oplus\mathbb{H}$ has images

$$\begin{array}{rlrl} 1 & \mapsto \;\; \mathbf{1} \,\oplus\, \mathbf{1}, & \Gamma \,=\, -e_1e_2e_3e_4 & \mapsto \mathbf{1} \,\oplus\, -\mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{w}_1^+ & \mapsto -2\,\mathbf{i} \,\oplus\, 0, & \mathbf{w}_1^- & \mapsto 0 \,\oplus\, 2\,\mathbf{i} \\ \mathbf{w}_2^+ & \mapsto -2\,\mathbf{j} \,\oplus\, 0, & \mathbf{w}_2^- & \mapsto 0 \,\oplus\, -2\,\mathbf{j} \\ \mathbf{w}_3^+ & \mapsto \;\; 2\,\mathbf{k} \,\oplus\, 0, & \mathbf{w}_3^- & \mapsto 0 \,\oplus\, 2\,\mathbf{k} \end{array}$$

and the inverse $\mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^+(\mathbb{R}^{\ntrianglerighteq})$ has images

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{1} \oplus 0 &\mapsto \frac{1+\Gamma}{2}, & 0 \oplus \mathbf{1} &\mapsto \frac{1-\Gamma}{2} \\
\mathbf{i} \oplus 0 &\mapsto \frac{-\mathbf{w}_1^+}{2}, & 0 \oplus \mathbf{i} &\mapsto \frac{\mathbf{w}_1^-}{2} \\
\mathbf{j} \oplus 0 &\mapsto \frac{-\mathbf{w}_2^+}{2}, & 0 \oplus \mathbf{j} &\mapsto \frac{-\mathbf{w}_2^-}{2} \\
\mathbf{k} \oplus 0 &\mapsto \frac{\mathbf{w}_3^+}{2}, & 0 \oplus \mathbf{k} &\mapsto \frac{\mathbf{w}_3^-}{2}
\end{aligned}$$

So the map $\mathrm{Spin}(4) \longrightarrow \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$ has

$$\exp(\,t\,\Lambda_+\,) \longrightarrow \mathrm{SU}(2) \times 1_{\mathrm{SU}(2)}$$

$$\exp(\,t\,\Lambda_-\,) \longrightarrow 1_{\mathrm{SU}(2)} \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$$

given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\exp(\,t\,\mathbf{w}_1^+\,) &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \exp(-\mathbf{i}2t) & 0 \\ 0 & \exp(\mathbf{i}2t) \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
\exp(\,t\,\mathbf{w}_2^+\,) &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2t & -\sin 2t \\ \sin 2t & \cos 2t \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
\exp(\,t\,\mathbf{w}_3^+\,) &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2t & \sin 2t\,\mathbf{i} \\ \sin 2t\,\mathbf{i} & \cos 2t \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
\exp(\,t\,\mathbf{w}_1^-\,) &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} \exp(\mathbf{i}2t) & 0 \\ 0 & \exp(-\mathbf{i}2t) \end{pmatrix} \\
\exp(\,t\,\mathbf{w}_2^-\,) &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2t & -\sin 2t \\ \sin 2t & \cos 2t \end{pmatrix} \\
\exp(\,t\,\mathbf{w}_3^-\,) &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2t & \sin 2t\,\mathbf{i} \\ \sin 2t\,\mathbf{i} & \cos 2t \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

The action of $\mathbf{w}_i^{\pm}$ in $C(V) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ on $S \cong \Lambda P$ is given by

$$\mathbf{w}_1^+ = 2\begin{pmatrix} -\mathbf{i} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{i} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \mathbf{w}_1^- = 2\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{i} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\mathbf{i} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{w}_2^+ = 2\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \mathbf{w}_2^- = 2\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{w}_3^+ \,=\, 2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{i} & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{i} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \mathbf{w}_3^- \,=\, 2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{i} \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{i} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

therefore Λ_+ acts on S^+ while Λ_- acts on S^-.

Remark . Now consider the complexified algebra of self-dual and anti-self dual two forms

$$\Lambda_{\pm\mathbb{C}} \,=\, \Lambda_\pm \otimes \mathbb{C}$$

Usually, we use a basis

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{w}_1 \wedge w_1 \,+\, \overline{w}_2 \wedge w_2 \,&=\, -\,\mathbf{i}\,\mathbf{w}_1^+ \\ w_1 \wedge w_2 \,&= \frac{\mathbf{w}_2^+ \,-\, \mathbf{i}\,\mathbf{w}_3^+}{2} \\ \overline{w}_1 \wedge \overline{w}_2 \,&= \frac{\mathbf{w}_2^+ \,+\, \mathbf{i}\,\mathbf{w}_3^+}{2} \end{aligned}$$

for $\Lambda_{+\mathbb{C}}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{w}_1 \wedge w_1 \,-\, \overline{w}_2 \wedge w_2 \,&=\, -\,\mathbf{i}\,\mathbf{w}_1^- \\ \overline{w}_1 \wedge w_2 \,&= \frac{\mathbf{w}_2^- \,-\, \mathbf{i}\,\mathbf{w}_3^-}{2} \\ w_1 \wedge \overline{w}_2 \,&= \frac{\mathbf{w}_2^- \,+\, \mathbf{i}\,\mathbf{w}_3^-}{2} \end{aligned}$$

for $\Lambda_{-\mathbb{C}}$.

Their quantization acts on S as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{q}(\overline{w}_1 \wedge w_1 \,+\, \overline{w}_2 \wedge w_2) \,&= 2 \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathbf{q}(w_1 \wedge w_2) \,&= 2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathbf{q}(\overline{w}_1 \wedge \overline{w}_2) \,&= 2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{q}(\overline{w}_1 \wedge w_1 \,-\, \overline{w}_2 \wedge w_2) \,= 2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{q}(\overline{w}_1 \wedge w_2) \,= 2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{q}(w_1 \wedge \overline{w}_2) \,= 2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

As a result, we have the following:

Theorem 14. *There are isomorphisms*

$$\mathrm{End}(\, S^+ \,) \,\cong\, \Lambda^0_{\mathbb{C}} \,\oplus\, \Lambda_{+\mathbb{C}}$$

$$\mathrm{End}(\, S^- \,) \,\cong\, \Lambda^0_{\mathbb{C}} \,\oplus\, \Lambda_{-\mathbb{C}}$$

Proof. With respect to the standard basis

$$\{\, 1_{\Lambda P} \,,\, w_1 \wedge w_2 \,\}$$

of S^+, any element in $\mathrm{End}(S^+)$ is a matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$$

which may be splited into

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} &= \frac{(A+D)}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{(D-A)}{4} \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad + \frac{C}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{B}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{(A+D)}{2}\, 1_{\mathrm{End}(S^+)} + \frac{(D-A)}{4}\, \mathbf{q}(\, \overline{w}_1 \wedge w_1 \,+\, \overline{w}_2 \wedge w_2 \,)\,\big|_{S^+} \\ &\quad + \frac{C}{2}\, \mathbf{q}(w_1 \wedge w_2)\,\big|_{S^+} - \frac{B}{2}\, \mathbf{q}(\overline{w}_1 \wedge \overline{w}_2)\,\big|_{S^+} \end{aligned}$$

Since $1_{\mathrm{End}(S^+)} \;=\; \frac{1+\Gamma}{2}\big|_{S^+}$, we have

$$\mathrm{End}(S^+) \,\cong\, \mathbb{C}(\frac{\nVdash + \Gamma}{\nvDash}) \,\oplus\, \Lambda_{+\mathbb{C}}$$

On the other hand,with respect to the standard basis

$$\{\, w_1 \,,\, w_2 \,\}$$

of S^-, any element

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$$

in $\mathrm{End}(S^-)$ may be splited into

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} &= \frac{(A+D)}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{(A-D)}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad + \frac{C}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{B}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{(A+D)}{2} 1_{\mathrm{End}(S^-)} + \frac{(A-D)}{4} \mathbf{q}(\,\overline{w}_1 \wedge w_1 \,-\, \overline{w}_2 \wedge w_2\,)\,|_{S^-} \\ &\quad + \frac{C}{2} \mathbf{q}(\overline{w}_1 \wedge w_2)\,|_{S^-} - \frac{B}{2} \mathbf{q}(w_1 \wedge \overline{w}_2)\,|_{S^-} \end{aligned}$$

Since $1_{\mathrm{End}(S^-)} = \frac{1-\Gamma}{2}|_{S^-}$, we have

$$\mathrm{End}(S^-) \,\cong\, \mathbb{C}(\frac{\nVdash - \Gamma}{\nvDash}) \,\oplus\, \Lambda_{-\mathbb{C}}$$

□

3.4. Hermitian Structure on the Spinors.

Definition 26. There is a canonical **Hermitian structure** on the space of positive spinors S^+ given by the **Hermitian inner product** $\langle \cdot , \cdot \rangle$ which takes the value

$$\langle\, s^+ \,,\, t^+ \,\rangle \,=\, \overline{s}_1^+ t_1^+ \,+\, \overline{s}_2^+ t_2^+$$

on the spinors

$$s^+ \,=\, \begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad t^+ \,=\, \begin{pmatrix} t_1^+ \\ \\ t_2^+ \end{pmatrix} \quad \in S^+$$

Lemma 14. *The above Hermitian form is* Spin(4) *invariant.*

Proof. The action of Spin(4) on S^+ is the same as SU(2) action. Since SU(2) preserves Hermitian inner products, therefore our Hermitian product is invariant under Spin(4). □

Definition 27. The dual vector space S^{+*} consists of complex linear functionals

$$\phi : S^+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

S^{+*} is generated by the dual complex basis

$$\{\, 1^*_{C(V)} \,,\, (w_1 \wedge w_2)^* \,\}$$

which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} 1^*_{C(V)}(\, 1_{C(V)}\,) &= 1 \\ 1^*_{C(V)}(\, w_1 \wedge w_2\,) &= 0 \\ (w_1 \wedge w_2)^*(\, 1_{C(V)}\,) &= 0 \\ (w_1 \wedge w_2)^*(\, w_1 \wedge w_2\,) &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Definition 28. There is a **Hermitian Riesz representation**

$$S^+ \xrightarrow{\cong} S^{+*}$$

with the following identification

$$\begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \langle \begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix} , \cdot \rangle$$

Theorem 15. *The Hermitian Riesz representation*

$$S^+ \longrightarrow S^{+*}$$

is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \overline{s}_1^+ \\ \\ \overline{s}_2^+ \end{pmatrix}^*$$

That means

$$\begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix}^* = \langle \begin{pmatrix} \overline{s}_1^+ \\ \\ \overline{s}_2^+ \end{pmatrix} , \cdot \rangle$$

Proof. Now assume

$$1^*_{C(V)} = \langle \begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix} , \cdot \rangle$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= 1^*_{C(V)}(\, 1_{C(V)}\,) = \langle \begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix} , 1_{C(V)} \rangle = \langle \begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix} , \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \rangle = \overline{s}_1^+ \\ 0 &= 1^*_{C(V)}(\, w_1 \wedge w_2\,) = \langle \begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix} , w_1 \wedge w_2 \rangle = \langle \begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix} , \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \rangle = \overline{s}_2^+ \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$1^*_{C(V)} = \langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} , \cdot \rangle$$

Similarly, assume

$$(w_1 \wedge w_2)^* \;=\; \langle \begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix} , \cdot \rangle$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (w_1 \wedge w_2)^*(1_{C(V)}) &&= \langle \begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix} , 1_{C(V)} \rangle &&= \langle \begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix} , \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \rangle = \overline{s_1^+} \\ 1 &= (w_1 \wedge w_2)^*(w_1 \wedge w_2) &&= \langle \begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix} , w_1 \wedge w_2 \rangle &&= \langle \begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix} , \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \rangle = \overline{s_2^+} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(w_1 \wedge w_2)^* \;=\; \langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} , \cdot \rangle$$

As a result,

$$\begin{aligned} (s_1^+ 1_{C(V)} \,+\, s_2^+ (w_1 \wedge w_2))^* &= s_1^+ \, 1_{C(V)}^* \,+\, s_2^+ \, (w_1 \wedge w_2)^* \\ &= s_1^+ \langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} , \cdot \rangle \,+\, s_2^+ \langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} , \cdot \rangle \\ &= \langle \begin{pmatrix} \overline{s_1^+} \\ \\ \overline{s_2^+} \end{pmatrix} , \cdot \rangle \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 16. *By using the Hermitian Riesz representation, we have*

$$S^+ \otimes S^+ \;\cong\; \mathrm{End}(S^+)$$

Proof. The image of the Hermitian Riesz representation

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ \\ b \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \langle \begin{pmatrix} a \\ \\ b \end{pmatrix} , \cdot \rangle \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} \overline{a} \\ \\ \overline{b} \end{pmatrix}^*$$

induces the following map

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ \\ b \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} c \\ \\ d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \langle \begin{pmatrix} a \\ \\ b \end{pmatrix} , \cdot \rangle \otimes \begin{pmatrix} c \\ \\ d \end{pmatrix} \qquad \in \mathrm{End}(S^+)$$

such that for any

$$\begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix} \in S^+,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}, \cdot \rangle \otimes \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix} &\mapsto \langle \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix} \rangle \otimes \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix} \\
&= (\,\overline{a} s_1^+ + \overline{b} s_2^+\,) \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} \overline{a}c\, s_1^+ + \overline{b}c\, s_2^+ \\ \overline{a}d\, s_1^+ + \overline{b}d\, s_2^+ \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} \overline{a}c & \overline{b}c \\ \overline{a}d & \overline{b}d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\langle \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}, \cdot \rangle \otimes \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{a}c & \overline{b}c \\ \overline{a}d & \overline{b}d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix} (\,\overline{a} \quad \overline{b}\,)$$

Explicitly, take a canonical basis of $S^+ \otimes S^+$ consisting of the following four elements:

$$\begin{aligned}
1_{C(V)} \otimes 1_{C(V)} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
1_{C(V)} \otimes (w_1 \wedge w_2) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
(w_1 \wedge w_2) \otimes 1_{C(V)} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
(w_1 \wedge w_2) \otimes (w_1 \wedge w_2) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

The isomorphism maps this basis to the following basis matrices of End(S^+):

$$1_{C(V)} \otimes 1_{C(V)} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$1_{C(V)} \otimes (w_1 \wedge w_2) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(w_1 \wedge w_2) \otimes 1_{C(V)} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(w_1 \wedge w_2) \otimes (w_1 \wedge w_2) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

This map extends to the whole $S^+ \otimes S^+$, **anti-linear** in the 1st S^+ and linear in the second S^+. ☐

Corollary 10. *By using the Hermitian Riesz representation, we have*

$$S^+ \otimes S^+ \cong \Lambda^0_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \Lambda_{+\mathbb{C}}$$

Proof. By **Theorem 14** and **Theorem 16** we have the following correspondence:

$$\begin{aligned}
1_{C(V)} \otimes 1_{C(V)} &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
&\mapsto \frac{1}{2}\, 1_{\mathrm{End}(S^+)} - \frac{1}{4}\, \mathbf{q}(\overline{w}_1 \wedge w_1 + \overline{w}_2 \wedge w_2)\big|_{S^+} \\
1_{C(V)} \otimes (w_1 \wedge w_2) &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
&\mapsto \frac{1}{2}\, \mathbf{q}(w_1 \wedge w_2)\big|_{S^+} \\
(w_1 \wedge w_2) \otimes 1_{C(V)} &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
&\mapsto -\frac{1}{2}\, \mathbf{q}(\overline{w}_1 \wedge \overline{w}_2)\big|_{S^+} \\
(w_1 \wedge w_2) \otimes (w_1 \wedge w_2) &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
&\mapsto \frac{1}{2}\, 1_{\mathrm{End}(S^+)} + \frac{1}{4}\, \mathbf{q}(\overline{w}_1 \wedge w_1 + \overline{w}_2 \wedge w_2)\big|_{S^+}
\end{aligned}$$

This map extends to the whole $S^+ \otimes S^+$, **anti-linear** in the 1st S^+ and linear in the second S^+. ☐

Now consider the negative spinors S^-. With respect to the standard basis

$$\{ w_1 , w_2 \}$$

we can define a similar Spin(4) **invariant Hermitian inner product** which takes the value

$$\langle s^- , t^- \rangle = \overline{s_1^-} t_1^- + \overline{s_2^-} t_2^-$$

on the spinors

$$s^- = \begin{pmatrix} s_1^- \\ \\ s_2^- \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad t^- = \begin{pmatrix} t_1^- \\ \\ t_2^- \end{pmatrix} \quad \in S^-$$

We have a similar **Hermitian Riesz representation** on S^- :

$$S^- \xrightarrow{\cong} S^{-*}$$

with the following identification

$$\begin{pmatrix} s_1^- \\ \\ s_2^- \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \langle \begin{pmatrix} s_1^- \\ \\ s_2^- \end{pmatrix} , \cdot \rangle$$

which , as in S^+, satisfies

$$\begin{pmatrix} s_1^- \\ \\ s_2^- \end{pmatrix}^* = \langle \begin{pmatrix} \overline{s_1^-} \\ \\ \overline{s_2^-} \end{pmatrix} , \cdot \rangle$$

As in S^+, we have

Theorem 17. *By using the Hermitian Riesz representation, we have*

$$S^- \otimes S^- \cong \mathrm{End}(S^-)$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the one for S^+ and we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ \\ b \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} c \\ \\ d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \langle \begin{pmatrix} a \\ \\ b \end{pmatrix} , \cdot \rangle \otimes \begin{pmatrix} c \\ \\ d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{a}c & \overline{b}c \\ \\ \overline{a}d & \overline{b}d \end{pmatrix}$$

Explicitly, we have

$$w_1 \otimes w_1 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$w_1 \otimes w_2 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$w_2 \otimes w_1 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$w_2 \otimes w_2 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

This map extends to the whole $S^- \otimes S^-$, **anti-linear** in the 1st S^- and linear in the second S^-. □

Corollary 11. *By using the Hermitian Riesz representation, we have*

$$S^- \otimes S^- \cong \Lambda^0_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \Lambda_{-\mathbb{C}}$$

Proof. By **Theorem 14** and **Theorem 17** we have the following correspondence:

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 \otimes w_1 &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\mapsto \frac{1}{2} 1_{\mathrm{End}(S^-)} + \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{q}(\overline{w}_1 \wedge w_1 - \overline{w}_2 \wedge w_2)\big|_{S^-} \\ w_1 \otimes w_2 &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\mapsto \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{q}(\overline{w}_1 \wedge w_2)\big|_{S^-} \\ w_2 \otimes w_1 &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\mapsto -\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{q}(w_1 \wedge \overline{w}_2)\big|_{S^-} \\ w_2 \otimes w_2 &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\mapsto \frac{1}{2} 1_{\mathrm{End}(S^-)} - \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{q}(\overline{w}_1 \wedge w_1 - \overline{w}_2 \wedge w_2)\big|_{S^-} \end{aligned}$$

This map extends to the whole $S^- \otimes S^-$, **anti-linear** in the 1st S^- and linear in the second S^-. □

Theorem 18. *By using the Hermitian Riesz representation, we have*

$$S^+ \otimes S^- \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Hom}(S^+, S^-)$$

Proof. With respect to the standard basis of S^+ and S^-, we have a map

$$S^+ \otimes S^- \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\, S^+ \, , \, S^- \,)$$

by

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ \\ b \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} c \\ \\ d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \langle \begin{pmatrix} a \\ \\ b \end{pmatrix} , \cdot \rangle \otimes \begin{pmatrix} c \\ \\ d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{a}c & \overline{b}c \\ \\ \overline{a}d & \overline{b}d \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ \\ b \end{pmatrix} \in S^+, \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} c \\ \\ d \end{pmatrix} \in S^-$$

and $\langle \, \cdot \, , \, \cdot \, \rangle$ is the Hermitian product of S^+.

Explicitly, we have

$$1_{C(V)} \otimes w_1 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$1_{C(V)} \otimes w_2 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(w_1 \wedge w_2) \otimes w_1 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(w_1 \wedge w_2) \otimes w_2 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

This map extends to the whole $S^+ \otimes S^-$, **anti-linear** in $S^+ \otimes 0$ and linear in $0 \otimes S^-$. □

Corollary 12. *By using the Hermitian Riesz representation, we have*

$$S^+ \otimes S^- \cong \Lambda^1_{\mathbb{C}}$$

Proof. By **Theorem 12** and **Theorem 18** we have the following correspondence:

$$1_{C(V)} \otimes w_1 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \frac{1}{2}\, e_1 - \frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}\, e_2 \qquad = w_1$$

$$1_{C(V)} \otimes w_2 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \frac{1}{2}\, e_3 - \frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}\, e_4 \qquad = w_2$$

$$(w_1 \wedge w_2) \otimes w_1 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \frac{1}{2} e_3 + \frac{\mathbf{i}}{2} e_4 \quad = \overline{w}_2$$

$$(w_1 \wedge w_2) \otimes w_2 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto -\frac{1}{2} e_1 - \frac{\mathbf{i}}{2} e_2 = -\overline{w}_1$$

This map extends to the whole $S^+ \otimes S^-$, **anti-linear** in $S^+ \otimes 0$ and linear in $0 \otimes S^-$. □

Similarly, by interchanging S^+ and S^-, we get the following

Theorem 19. *By using the Hermitian Riesz representation, we have*

$$S^- \otimes S^+ \cong \mathrm{Hom}(S^-, S^+)$$

Proof. With respect to the standard basis of S^- and S^+, we have a map

$$S^- \otimes S^+ \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Hom}(S^-, S^+)$$

by

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \langle \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}, \cdot \rangle \otimes \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{a}c & \overline{b}c \\ \overline{a}d & \overline{b}d \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \in S^-, \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix} \in S^+$$

and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the Hermitian product of S^-.

Explicitly, we have

$$w_1 \otimes 1_{C(V)} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$w_1 \otimes (w_1 \wedge w_2) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$w_2 \otimes 1_{C(V)} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$w_2 \otimes (w_1 \wedge w_2) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

This map extends to the whole $S^- \otimes S^+$, **anti-linear** in $S^- \otimes 0$ and linear in $0 \otimes S^+$. □

Corollary 13. *By using the Hermitian Riesz representation, we have*

$$S^- \otimes S^+ \cong \Lambda^1_{\mathbb{C}}$$

Proof. By **Theorem 12** and **Theorem 19** we have the following correspondence:

$$\begin{aligned}
w_1 \otimes 1_{C(V)} &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix} \mapsto -\frac{1}{2}e_1 - \frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}e_2 = -\overline{w}_1\\
w_1 \otimes (w_1 \wedge w_2) &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix}0 & 0\\ 1 & 0\end{pmatrix} \mapsto -\frac{1}{2}e_3 + \frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}e_4 = -w_2\\
w_2 \otimes 1_{C(V)} &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix}0 & 1\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix} \mapsto -\frac{1}{2}e_3 - \frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}e_4 = -\overline{w}_2\\
w_2 \otimes (w_1 \wedge w_2) &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix}0 & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix} \mapsto \frac{1}{2}e_1 - \frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}e_2 = w_1
\end{aligned}$$

This map extends to the whole $S^- \otimes S^+$, **anti-linear** in $S^- \otimes 0$ and linear in $0 \otimes S^+$. □

3.5. **Symplectic Structure on the Spinors.**

Definition 29. There is a canonical **symplectic structure** on the space of positive spinors S^+ given by the **symplectic form** $\{\cdot\,,\cdot\}$ which takes the value

$$\{s^+\,, t^+\} = s_1^+ t_2^+ - s_2^+ t_1^+$$

on the spinors

$$s^+ = \begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad t^+ = \begin{pmatrix} t_1^+ \\ t_2^+ \end{pmatrix} \quad \in S^+$$

Lemma 15. *The above symplectic form is* Spin(4) *invariant.*

Proof. The action of Spin(4) on S^+ is represented as a $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ action. Since $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ preserves simplectic forms, therefore our symplectic form is invariant under Spin(4). □

Definition 30. There is a **symplectic Riesz representation**

$$S^+ \xrightarrow{\cong} S^{+*}$$

with the following identification

$$\begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \{ \begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix} , \cdot \}$$

Theorem 20. *The symplectic Riesz representation*

$$S^+ \longrightarrow S^{+*}$$

is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} -s_2^+ \\ \\ s_1^+ \end{pmatrix}^*$$

That means

$$\begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix}^* = \{ \begin{pmatrix} s_2^+ \\ \\ -s_1^+ \end{pmatrix} , \cdot \}$$

Proof. Now assume

$$1^*_{C(V)} = \{ \begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix} , \cdot \}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= 1^*_{C(V)}(1_{C(V)}) = \{ \begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix} , 1_{C(V)} \} = \{ \begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix} , \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \} = -s_2^+ \\ 0 &= 1^*_{C(V)}(w_1 \wedge w_2) = \{ \begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix} , w_1 \wedge w_2 \} = \{ \begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix} , \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \} = s_1^+ \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$1^*_{C(V)} = \{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} , \cdot \}$$

Similarly, assume

$$(w_1 \wedge w_2)^* = \{ \begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix} , \cdot \}$$

and we have

$$0 = (w_1 \wedge w_2)^*(1_{C(V)}) = \{ \begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix} , 1_{C(V)} \} = \{ \begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix} , \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \} = -s_2^+$$

$$1 \; = (w_1 \wedge w_2)^* (\, w_1 \wedge w_2 \,) = \{ \begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix} \; , \, w_1 \wedge w_2 \} = \{ \begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix} \; , \; \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \} = s_1^+$$

Therefore

$$(w_1 \wedge w_2)^* \; = \; \{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \; , \cdot \}$$

As a result,

$$(\, s_1^+ 1_{C(V)} \; + \; s_2^+ (w_1 \wedge w_2) \,)^* \; = \; s_1^+ \, 1^*_{C(V)} \; + \; s_2^+ \, (w_1 \wedge w_2)^* \; = \; \{ \begin{pmatrix} s_2^+ \\ \\ -s_1^+ \end{pmatrix} \; , \cdot \}$$

□

Just like the Hermitian case, we also have

Theorem 21. *By using the symplectic Riesz representation, we have*

$$S^+ \otimes S^+ \; \cong \; \mathrm{End}(\, S^+ \,)$$

Proof. The image of the symplectic Riesz representation

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ \\ b \end{pmatrix} \; \mapsto \; \{ \begin{pmatrix} a \\ \\ b \end{pmatrix} \; , \cdot \} \; = \; \begin{pmatrix} -b \\ \\ a \end{pmatrix}^*$$

induces the following map

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ \\ b \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} c \\ \\ d \end{pmatrix} \; \mapsto \; \{ \begin{pmatrix} a \\ \\ b \end{pmatrix} \; , \cdot \} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} c \\ \\ d \end{pmatrix} \qquad \in \mathrm{End}(\, S^+ \,)$$

such that for any

$$\begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix} \in S^+,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \{ \begin{pmatrix} a \\ \\ b \end{pmatrix} \; , \cdot \} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} c \\ \\ d \end{pmatrix} \; : \; \begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix} \; &\mapsto \{ \begin{pmatrix} a \\ \\ b \end{pmatrix} \; , \; \begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix} \} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} c \\ \\ d \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (\, -bs_1^+ \; + \; as_2^+ \,) \begin{pmatrix} c \\ \\ d \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} bc \, s_1^+ \; + \; ac \, s_2^+ \\ \\ bd \, s_1^+ \; + \; ad \, s_2^+ \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} -bc & ac \\ -bd & ad \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore

$$\{ \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}, \cdot \} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -bc & ac \\ -bd & ad \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -b & a \end{pmatrix}$$

Explicitly, the isomorphism maps this basis to the following basis matrices of $\mathrm{End}(S^+)$:

$$1_{C(V)} \otimes 1_{C(V)} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$1_{C(V)} \otimes (w_1 \wedge w_2) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(w_1 \wedge w_2) \otimes 1_{C(V)} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(w_1 \wedge w_2) \otimes (w_1 \wedge w_2) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and extends linearly to the whole $S^+ \otimes S^+$. ☐

Corollary 14. *By using the symplectic Riesz representation, we have*

$$S^+ \otimes S^+ \cong \Lambda^0_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \Lambda_{+\mathbb{C}}$$

Proof. By **Theorem 14** and **Theorem 21** we have the following correspondence:

$$1_{C(V)} \otimes 1_{C(V)} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mapsto -\frac{1}{2}\, \mathbf{q}(\overline{w}_1 \wedge \overline{w}_2)\big|_{S^+}$$

$$1_{C(V)} \otimes (w_1 \wedge w_2) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mapsto \frac{1}{2}\, 1_{\mathrm{End}(S^+)} + \frac{1}{4}\, \mathbf{q}(\overline{w}_1 \wedge w_1 + \overline{w}_2 \wedge w_2)\big|_{S^+}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(w_1 \wedge w_2) \otimes 1_{C(V)} &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
&\mapsto -\frac{1}{2} 1_{\mathrm{End}(S^+)} + \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{q}(\overline{w}_1 \wedge w_1 + \overline{w}_2 \wedge w_2)\big|_{S^+} \\
(w_1 \wedge w_2) \otimes (w_1 \wedge w_2) &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
&\mapsto -\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{q}(w_1 \wedge w_2)\big|_{S^+}
\end{aligned}
$$

□

Now consider the negative spinors S^-. With respect to the standard basis

$$\{ w_1 , w_2 \}$$

we can define a similar Spin(4) **invariant symplectic form** which takes the value

$$\{s^- , t^-\} = s_1^- t_2^- - s_2^- t_1^-$$

on the spinors

$$s^- = \begin{pmatrix} s_1^- \\ s_2^- \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad t^- = \begin{pmatrix} t_1^- \\ t_2^- \end{pmatrix} \quad \in S^-$$

We have a similar **symplectic Riesz representation** on S^- :

$$S^- \xrightarrow{\cong} S^{-*}$$

with the following identification

$$\begin{pmatrix} s_1^- \\ s_2^- \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \{ \begin{pmatrix} s_1^- \\ s_2^- \end{pmatrix} , \cdot \}$$

which , as in S^+, satisfies

$$\begin{pmatrix} s_1^- \\ s_2^- \end{pmatrix}^* = \{ \begin{pmatrix} s_2^- \\ -s_1^- \end{pmatrix} , \cdot \}$$

As in S^+, we have

Theorem 22. *By using the symplectic Riesz representation, we have*

$$S^- \otimes S^- \cong \mathrm{End}(S^-)$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the one for S^+ and we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \{ \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}, \cdot \} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -bc & ac \\ -bd & ad \end{pmatrix}$$

Explicitly, we have

$$w_1 \otimes w_1 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$w_1 \otimes w_2 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$w_2 \otimes w_1 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$w_2 \otimes w_2 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

This map extends to the whole $S^- \otimes S^-$, **anti-linear** in the 1st S^- and linear in the second S^-. ☐

Corollary 15. *By using the symplectic Riesz representation, we have*

$$S^- \otimes S^- \cong \Lambda^0_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \Lambda_{-\mathbb{C}}$$

Proof. By **Theorem 14** and **Theorem 22** we have the following correspondence:

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 \otimes w_1 &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\mapsto -\frac{1}{2}\, \mathbf{q}(w_1 \wedge \overline{w}_2)\big|_{S^-} \\ w_1 \otimes w_2 &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\mapsto \frac{1}{2} 1_{\text{End}(S^-)} - \frac{1}{4}\, \mathbf{q}(\overline{w}_1 \wedge w_1 - \overline{w}_2 \wedge w_2)\big|_{S^-} \\ w_2 \otimes w_1 &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\mapsto -\frac{1}{2} 1_{\text{End}(S^-)} - \frac{1}{4}\, \mathbf{q}(\overline{w}_1 \wedge w_1 - \overline{w}_2 \wedge w_2)\big|_{S^-} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} w_2 \otimes w_2 &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\mapsto -\frac{1}{2}\,\mathbf{q}(\overline{w}_1 \wedge w_2)\big|_{S^-} \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 23. *By using the symplectic Riesz representation, we have*

$$S^+ \otimes S^- \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Hom}(\, S^+ \,,\, S^- \,)$$

Proof. With respect to the standard basis of S^+ and S^-, we have a map

$$S^+ \otimes S^- \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\, S^+ \,,\, S^- \,)$$

by

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \{\, \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \,, \cdot \,\} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -bc & ac \\ -bd & ad \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \in S^+, \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix} \in S^-$$

and $\{\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,\}$ is the symplectic form of S^+.

Explicitly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1_{C(V)} \otimes w_1 &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ 1_{C(V)} \otimes w_2 &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ (w_1 \wedge w_2) \otimes w_1 &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ (w_1 \wedge w_2) \otimes w_2 &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

This map extends to the whole $S^+ \otimes S^-$ complex linearly. □

Corollary 16. *By using the symplectic Riesz representation, we have*

$$S^+ \otimes S^- \cong \Lambda^1_{\mathbb{C}}$$

Proof. By **Theorem 12** and **Theorem 23** we have the following correspondence:

$$\begin{aligned}
1_{C(V)} \otimes w_1 &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \frac{1}{2}e_3 + \frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}e_4 = \overline{w}_2 \\
1_{C(V)} \otimes w_2 &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto -\frac{1}{2}e_1 - \frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}e_2 = -\overline{w}_1 \\
(w_1 \wedge w_2) \otimes w_1 &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto -\frac{1}{2}e_1 + \frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}e_2 = -w_1 \\
(w_1 \wedge w_2) \otimes w_2 &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto -\frac{1}{2}e_3 + \frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}e_4 = -w_2
\end{aligned}$$

This map extends to the whole $S^+ \otimes S^-$ complex linearly. □

Similarly, by interchanging S^+ and S^-, we get the following

Theorem 24. *By using the symplectic Riesz representation, we have*

$$S^- \otimes S^+ \cong \mathrm{Hom}(S^-, S^+)$$

Proof. With respect to the standard basis of S^- and S^+, we have a map

$$S^- \otimes S^+ \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Hom}(S^-, S^+)$$

by

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \{ \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}, \cdot \} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -bc & ac \\ -bd & ad \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \in S^-, \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix} \in S^+$$

and $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ is the symplectic form of S^-.

Explicitly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
w_1 \otimes 1_{C(V)} &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
w_1 \otimes (w_1 \wedge w_2) &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

$$w_2 \otimes 1_{C(V)} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$w_2 \otimes (w_1 \wedge w_2) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

This map extends to the whole $S^- \otimes S^+$ complex linearly. $\square$

Corollary 17. *By using the symplectic Riesz representation, we have*

$$S^- \otimes S^+ \cong \Lambda^1_{\mathbb{C}}$$

Proof. By **Theorem 12** and **Theorem 24** we have the following correspondence:

$$\begin{aligned}
w_1 \otimes 1_{C(V)} &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto -\frac{1}{2} e_3 - \frac{\mathbf{i}}{2} e_4 = -\overline{w}_2 \\
w_1 \otimes (w_1 \wedge w_2) &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \frac{1}{2} e_1 - \frac{\mathbf{i}}{2} e_2 = w_1 \\
w_2 \otimes 1_{C(V)} &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \frac{1}{2} e_1 + \frac{\mathbf{i}}{2} e_2 = \overline{w}_1 \\
w_2 \otimes (w_1 \wedge w_2) &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \frac{1}{2} e_3 - \frac{\mathbf{i}}{2} e_4 = w_2
\end{aligned}$$

This map extends to the whole $S^- \otimes S^+$ complex linearly. $\square$

3.6. **Quaternionic Structure on the Spinors.** Now we shall combine the Hermitian and symplectic structures together.

Lemma 16. *We have an isomorphism*

$$\mathbf{j}^* : S^{+*} \xrightarrow{\cong} S^{+*}$$

given by the following identification

$$\langle \begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix}, \cdot \rangle \longmapsto \begin{pmatrix} \overline{s}_1^+ \\ \overline{s}_2^+ \end{pmatrix}^* \longmapsto \{ \begin{pmatrix} -\overline{s}_2^+ \\ \overline{s}_1^+ \end{pmatrix}, \cdot \}$$

where the first isomorphism is from the Hermition Riesz representation and the second is from the symplectic Riesz representation.

Corollary 18. *We have an* **anti-linear** *isomorphism*

$$\mathbf{j} : S^+ \longrightarrow S^+$$

which is the composition of the following maps

$$\begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix} \xmapsto[\textit{Riesz}]{\textit{Hermitian}} \langle \begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix} , \cdot \rangle \xmapsto{\mathbf{j}^*} \{ \begin{pmatrix} -\overline{s}_2^+ \\ \\ \overline{s}_1^+ \end{pmatrix} , \cdot \} \xmapsto[\textit{Riesz}]{\textit{symplectic}} \begin{pmatrix} -\overline{s}_2^+ \\ \\ \overline{s}_1^+ \end{pmatrix}$$

such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd} S^+ \arrow[r, "\mathbf{j}"] \arrow[d, "\textit{Hermitian Riesz}"', "\cong"] & S^+ \arrow[d, "\textit{symplectic Riesz}", "\cong"'] \\ S^{+*} \arrow[r, "\mathbf{j}^*"'] & S^{+*} \end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. We only need to prove the anti-linearity of $\mathbf{j}$ which is straight forward from the following computation:

$$\mathbf{j}\,(\,c \begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix}\,) = \mathbf{j} \begin{pmatrix} c\,s_1^+ \\ \\ c\,s_2^+ \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\overline{c}\,\overline{s}_2^+ \\ \\ \overline{c}\,\overline{s}_1^+ \end{pmatrix} = \overline{c} \begin{pmatrix} -\overline{s}_2^+ \\ \\ \overline{s}_1^+ \end{pmatrix} = \overline{c}\,(\,\mathbf{j} \begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix}\,)$$

□

Theorem 25. *The isomorphism* $\mathbf{j}$ *above and the isomorphism*

$$\mathbf{i} : S^+ \longrightarrow S^+$$

$$\mathbf{i} \begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{i}\,s_1^+ \\ \\ \mathbf{i}\,s_2^+ \end{pmatrix}$$

together gives a **quaternionic structure** *on* S^+*.*

Proof. It is obvious that $\mathbf{i}^2 = -1_{\mathrm{End}(S^+)}$. Now we also have

$$\mathbf{j}^2 \begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{j} \begin{pmatrix} -\overline{s}_2^+ \\ \\ \overline{s}_1^+ \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\overline{\overline{s}}_1^+ \\ \\ -\overline{\overline{s}}_2^+ \end{pmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix}$$

Define

$$\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{ij} : S^+ \longrightarrow S^+$$

therefore

$$\mathbf{k} \begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{ij} \begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{i} \begin{pmatrix} -\overline{s}_2^+ \\ \\ \overline{s}_1^+ \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\mathbf{i}\,\overline{s}_2^+ \\ \\ \mathbf{i}\,\overline{s}_1^+ \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence we have

$$\mathbf{k}^2 \begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{k} \begin{pmatrix} -\mathbf{i}\,\overline{s}_2^+ \\ \\ \mathbf{i}\,\overline{s}_1^+ \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\mathbf{i}\,(\overline{\mathbf{i}\,\overline{s}_1^+}) \\ \\ \mathbf{i}\,(\overline{-\mathbf{i}\,\overline{s}_2^+}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -s_1^+ \\ \\ -s_2^+ \end{pmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathbf{ji} \begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{j} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{i}\,s_1^+ \\ \\ \mathbf{i}\,s_2^+ \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{i}\,\overline{s}_2^+ \\ \\ -\mathbf{i}\,\overline{s}_1^+ \end{pmatrix} = -\mathbf{k} \begin{pmatrix} s_1^+ \\ \\ s_2^+ \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence we have a quaternionic structure induced by **i** and **j**. $\square$

REFERENCES

[1] N. Berline, E. Getzler, M. Vergne, Heat Kernels and Dirac Operators, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 298 (Springer,1991) .

[2] T. Bröcker, T. tom Dieck, Representations of Compact Lie Groups Graduate Texts in Mathematics 98 (Springer, 1988)

[3] S. K. Donaldson, *Polynomial Invariants of Smooth Four-Manifolds*, Topology **29** (1990) 257.

[4] W. Fulton, J. Harris, Representation Theory, A First Course, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 129 (Springer, 1991)

[5] F. Reese Harvey, Spinors and Calibrations, Perspectives in Mathematics , 9 (Academic Press, 1989) .

[6] P. B. Kronheimer, T. S. Mrowka, *The Genus of Embedded Surfaces in the Projective Plane*, Math. Res. Letters **1** (1995), 797-808.

[7] H. B. Lawson Jr., M.-L. Michelsohn, Spin Geometry, Princeton Mathematicsl Series (Princeton, 1989) .

[8] C. H. Taubes, *The Seiberg-Witten Invariants and Symplectic Forms*, Math. Res. Letters **1** (1994), 809–822.

[9] C. H. Taubes, *More Constraints on Symplectic Manifolds from Seiberg-Witten Equations*, Math. Res. Letters **2** (1995), 9–14

[10] C. H. Taubes, *The Seiberg-Witten and the Gromov Invariants*, Preprint

[11] E. Witten, Monopoles and Four-manifolds, Math. Research Letters **1** (1994), 769–796. hep-th/9411102.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG, SHATIN, HONG KONG